

Paula Wilson

From: Lawrence Bennett <l.j.bennett.engineering@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 02, 2011 9:14 AM
To: Paula Wilson
Cc: ROBBIN FINCH
Subject: Re: Antidegradation Guidance Development - revised Appendix F attached

Hi Paula,

I believe that the word "exiting" in the third line should be "existing".

I am also confused by the last paragraph. If a new discharge has been permitted but not yet occurred, why (or how) can one subtract the changes in loading? The text implies that the loading is based on in-stream sampling which would not include the "not yet occurring" discharge. Is the intent to mean that allocations for a different discharger are to include the "not yet occurring" discharger? If so, that is discussed elsewhere in the document.

Thanks for the opportunity to comment on this revised appendix F.

Larry

On Wed, Aug 10, 2011 at 3:40 PM, <Paula.Wilson@deq.idaho.gov> wrote:

Attached is a copy of Appendix F – Decision Tree for Baseline Water Quality, which contains revisions that were made as a result of the discussion that took place during the 8/5/11 antidegradation guidance development meeting.

Please review this version of Appendix F, rather than the version distributed last week, in preparing any written comments you plan to submit this Friday, 8/12/11.

Thank you,

Paula Wilson

[\(208\)373-0418](tel:(208)373-0418)

--

Lawrence J. Bennett, P.E.
Bennett Engineering
348 Provident Drive

Boise, ID 83706
208-343-2466
e-mail: L.J.Bennett.Engineering@gmail.com