

2008 Performance and Progress Report

State of Idaho
Nonpoint Source Management Program
January 1 through December 31, 2008
Idaho Department of Environmental Quality



State of Idaho Nonpoint Source Program

Cover photo: The Indian Creek day-lighting and downtown redevelopment project in downtown Caldwell reduces urban runoff within the Lower Boise River watershed.

2008 Performance and Progress Report

State of Idaho Nonpoint Source Management Program

February 2009

This image and next: As part of the downtown redevelopment project in Caldwell, Idaho, footbridges across Indian Creek were constructed using wooden trusses salvaged from demolished buildings.



Acknowledgments

The Idaho Department of Environmental Quality would like to acknowledge all who contributed to the development of this report, including all involved federal and state agencies, the project sponsors, and the many individuals whose efforts have helped reduce nonpoint pollution throughout the state.

State of Idaho Nonpoint Source Program

This page intentionally left blank for correct double-sided printing.

Contents

Acknowledgments	v
Section 1. Overview	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Assessing Program Performance	1
1.3 Program and Project Administration	3
Section 2. 2008 Project Field Evaluations	11
2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Field Evaluation Process	13
2.3 Results	13
Section 3. 2008 Evaluation Reports	19
Beartop Mill Site (Internal Project)	20
O Coulee Treatment Train (S139)	21
Twentymile Creek Habitat Restoration (S146)	22
Y and Y9 Drain Irrigation Return Flow Elimination (S168).....	23
Cascade Reservoir Watershed Phase 2 (S170).....	24
Palouse River Water Quality Improvement Project (S175).....	25
Fishhook Slide Stabilization Project (S176).....	26
Lower Payette River TMDL Implementation Phase 2 (S177)	27
North Idaho AFO Implementation Phase 3 (S181)	28
Weiser Water Quality Protection Project Phase II (S185)	29
Bonner County Milfoil Treatment Project (S186)	30
Salmon Falls Pump-back Project (S187).....	31
Kline Mountain Road Restoration (S193)	32
Indian Creek Storm water Phase 2 (S195).....	33
Friends of Flannigan Creek Riparian Restoration Project (S209).....	34
Lower North Fork Clearwater River Subbasin TMDL Implementation Phase 3 (S211).....	35
American River Water Quality Improvement Project, Phase 1 (S212)	36
Owyhee Restoration Incentive (S213)	37
Elk Creek/Mores Creek Sediment Reduction Floodplain Restoration (S214)	38
Copper Creek Restoration Project (S215)	39
Storm Water Treatment Demonstration Project, South Shore Hayden Lake (S216).....	40
Island Ranch Bank Stabilization Project (S217)	41
Upper Big Lost River Temperature and Sediment Reduction (S219).....	42
West Mountain Road Improvement Project (S220)	43
Bear River Basin Water Quality Improvement (S221)	44
Shoshone Water Quality Improvement Project (S224).....	45
Northwest Owyhee County Water Quality Improvement Project (S226)	46
Lindsay Creek Riparian Animal Management Project—Walton Property (S227)	47
Dry Creek Streambed Protection (S231)	48
References.....	49
Acronyms and Abbreviations	51
Report Index	53

List of Figures

Figure 1. Current active or recently closed Nonpoint Source projects, as of December 31, 2008. 11

Figure 2. Locations of nonpoint source projects evaluated during 2008. 12

Figure 3. Schedule usage by evaluated project. The gray bars show the number of months contracted for each project, and the red bars show the number of months the project has been underway. 17

Figure 4. Budget usage by evaluated projects. The gray bars show the total budget available for each project, and the green bars show total expenditures through December 31. 18

Figure 5. 17,809 tons of tailings, containing 236 tons of zinc and 96 tons of lead, were removed from this streambed. 20

Figure 6. Where possible, lead and zinc tailings were removed down to the original streambed. 20

Figure 7. This bridge was constructed and used for heavy equipment and truck access. 20

Figure 8. U. S. Forest Service archeologists determined that this pile of rubble, where the Beartop Mill once existed, is of historic significance and could not be removed. 20

Figure 9. This project is a typical Section 319 group effort. 21

Figure 10. Contaminant-laden irrigation return flow leaving the first settling pond. 21

Figure 11. Water enters the second settling pond for further cleaning. 21

Figure 12. The first wetland pond takes up many of the nutrients in the water. 21

Figure 13. Drop structures below the new bridge preserve the stream gradient and allow fish passage. 22

Figure 14. Each drop structure was keyed into the stream bank. 22

Figure 15. The bridge foundation was constructed to receive a prefabricated steel bridge. 22

Figure 16. The result was a fish-friendly bridge that can withstand storms and spring runoff. 22

Figure 17. Lower holding pond. 23

Figure 18. 2000 acres of cropland are sprinkler irrigated with captured irrigation return flow—a more efficient use of water that prevents return flow discharging back to the Snake River. 23

Figure 19. Cattle have free access to the cropland after harvest. Since there is no return flow to the Snake River, water pollution has been eliminated. 23

Figure 20. This aerial photograph shows cattle (tiny black dots) feeding on silage left in harvested fields adjacent to the confined irrigation water. 23

Figure 21. This storm water catchment basin will treat snow and rain runoff from an adjacent golf course and public boat ramp parking area. Cascade Reservoir is in the background. 24

Figure 22. The golf course is in the foreground, and the boat ramp parking area is shown on the right. 24

Figure 23. Storm water is filtered through a grease trap and sand filter system prior to discharge to Cascade Reservoir. 24

Figure 24. Prior to implementing this storm water BMP, large volumes of untreated snow melt and rainwater discharged directly into Cascade Reservoir. 24

Figure 25. Site of future 5,000 square foot settling pond to capture and treat storm water runoff from a corral and cultivated field. 25

Figure 26. This horse corral will drain directly to the settling pond. 25

Figure 27. This bank will be cut back to a sustainable slope and revegetated. Exclusionary fencing, a filter strip, and a settling pond will assure riparian restoration will survive. 25

Figure 28. Runoff from this entire area will be treated by the BMPs listed in this evaluation. 25

Figure 29. Sedimentary rocks have been geologically compressed into anticlines and synclines that dip steeply to the left in this photograph. 26

Figure 30. When the road was cut, it created a landslide area, so the logging company installed a debris trap that can be easily and safely cleaned out with a small loader. 26

Figure 31. Looking up the dip of this anticline, one can see why this area will continue to produce landslides in the future. 26

Figure 32. A French drain was installed to prevent water buildup and catch sediment. 26

Figure 33. Three thousand seven hundred twenty-nine feet of exclusionary fencing, where cattle previously roamed at will, kept 72 tons of sediment out of the Payette River. 27

Figure 34. Nine hundred twenty feet of exclusionary fencing restored vegetation along Bissel Creek. 27

Figure 35. Conversion of farmland from siphon tube irrigation to a wheel line system reduced sediment deposition to the Payette River by 107.16 tons/year. 27

Figure 36. Converting this field from siphon tube irrigation to wheel line irrigation reduced sedimentation by 70.3 tons/year. 27

Figure 37. Three water troughs keep cattle out of the nearby riparian areas and creek.28

Figure 38. Sixteen hundred feet of buried pipe delivers water to the troughs.28

Figure 39. A spring, developed near the center of the trees shown in this photograph, supplies water.28

Figure 40. Uppermost of the three troughs.28

Figure 41. Project manager Sharona Olson describes how moisture sensors alert farmers when to apply irrigation water.29

Figure 42. Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Conservationist Mike Raymond describes how sugar beets, beans, and onions are being used to determine if reduced fertilizer can result in improved crop yield.29

Figure 43. (Left and right) It is still early in the growing season, but reduced fertilizer application rates appear to be resulting in at least average crop yields. Actual results will not be available until harvest time.29

Figure 44. Boat wash site.30

Figure 45. The newly constructed holding pond (just beyond cattle) captures about 30% of irrigation return flow from 1,500 acres.31

Figure 46. Overflow from the holding pond is recycled to the croplands.31

Figure 47. This pump recycles irrigation return flow to the fields.31

Figure 48. The project involved reconstruction of 1.9 miles of U. S. Forest Service road through highly erosive geology.32

Figure 49. Gabion walls were used in two areas where historic landslides were a problem.32

Figure 50. Some culverts required small barricades to prevent falling rock from plugging or damaging them.32

Figure 51. Down pipes and splash aprons, used at the lower end of some culverts, prevent erosion and undermining.32

Figure 52. One of five storm water petrochemical separating systems incorporated in this project.33

Figure 53. This section of Indian Creek used to be buried in a concrete tunnel beneath downtown Caldwell.33

Figure 54. One of two footbridges constructed of wooden trusses salvaged from buildings demolished to uncover Indian Creek.33

Figure 55. Some of the old buildings along Indian Creek are being restored and preserved as part of Caldwell's heritage.33

Figure 56. Eight hundred riparian plants were placed along 1,500 linear feet (22,500 square feet) of Flannigan Creek after the area had been resloped and underlain with fabric.34

Figure 57. For the first several years, each woody plant was protected with PVC collars to reduce damage from browsing elk, deer, and beaver.34

Figure 58. Project Manager Kelli Rosellini stands beside sections of a bridge to be assembled at a creek crossing.35

Figure 59. Culverts are being removed, and stream banks are being sloped and seeded along closed logging roads.35

Figure 60. New logging roads and older roads still in use are being raised to higher standards to reduce sediment erosion.35

Figure 61. Culverts installed on logging roads are fish-friendly and sized to handle heavy spring runoff and major storms.35

Figure 62. Nonprofit organization Framing Our Communities is the project sponsor.36

Figure 63. Cabins will be built in the foreground; Section 319 funding will assist in paying for exclusionary fencing along the creek that flows through the middle of this valley.36

Figure 64. Exclusionary fencing keeps cattle and elk out of riparian vegetation that is being restored.36

Figure 65. With the help of exclusionary fencing, riparian vegetation is coming back nicely.36

Figure 66. This new sprinkler irrigation system replaced surface flood irrigation, reducing irrigation return.37

Figure 67. This former irrigation return flow has been greatly reduced by conversion to sprinkler irrigation.37

Figure 68. This large animal feeding operation has been isolated from storm water and irrigation flow by installation of 300 feet of pipeline.37

Figure 69. This pump, screen, and pipeline take irrigation water to a newly installed sprinkler system.37

Figure 70. Placer gold mining spoils were resloped and stabilized. Root wads were placed and vegetation was planted.38

Figure 71. Much of the vegetation shown here was planted by local high school volunteers.38

Figure 72. Root wads and larger rocks were brought in and placed as shown.38

Figure 73. Hundreds of willow trees were planted.38

Figure 74. Before the new landowners went to work, Copper Creek had suffered decades of abuse from uncontrolled grazing, poor crop planting, and use as a landfill.39

Figure 75. The previous landowner allowed livestock to have uncontrolled access to Copper Creek and all its

State of Idaho Nonpoint Source Program

tributaries	39
Figure 76. The new landowners are removing rubble, knocking down vertical stream banks, and reinstating a meandering stream channel.....	39
Figure 77. Recent construction produces large volumes of sediment currently being discharged to Hayden Lake.	40
Figure 78. Hayden Lake as seen from the south shore.....	40
Figure 79. A storm water infiltration, treatment, and discharge system will be installed beneath this road.	40
Figure 80. Nine thousand feet of exclusionary fencing is being installed on private grazing land along the Payette River.	41
Figure 81. Log revetments are made from whole tree trunks, laid parallel to the bank and cabled to piles or deadman anchors.	41
Figure 82. The anchor system consists of double-strand wire tied to whole tree trunks and pinned to the shore with steel fence posts.....	41
Figure 83. Nearly vertical stream banks created by cattle traffic had been caving in. After several months of log revetment protection, this section is beginning to stabilize.	41
Figure 84. Approximately 2.4 miles of Upper Big Lost River will be fenced off to allow only limited grazing.	42
Figure 85. This upstream section of the river will have closely monitored grazing.	42
Figure 86. Approximately 200 log weirs were installed by the U. S. Forest Service 20 years ago to stabilize the stream bed.....	42
Figure 87. Many log weirs are beginning to fail. With natural conditions stable, they will be removed and the stream closely monitored during runoff events.	42
Figure 88. This project involved reconstruction of 1 mile of roadbed adjacent to Cascade Reservoir.....	43
Figure 89. The new roadbed consists of geofabric overlain with 18 inches of crushed, very coarse, angular basalt, topped with angular basalt gravel.	43
Figure 90. Fish-friendly oversized culverts were installed as needed.	43
Figure 91. Large chunks of very fine-grained clay were highly vulnerable to erosion.	43
Figure 92. Jenkins Creek subproject includes 1,900 feet of buried pipeline and several troughs. Vegetation is beginning to reestablish itself because cattle prefer to drink from troughs rather than from the creek.....	44
Figure 93. One of several troughs installed along Jenkins Creek.	44
Figure 94. This solar panel supplies power for the pump that supplies water to the troughs on Jenkins Creek.....	44
Figure 95. At the Westin Creek subproject, 1,500 feet of exclusionary fencing were installed.....	44
Figure 96. New 10,000-gallon tank installed to collect water from nearby Whirl Spring.	45
Figure 97. An HDPE waterline that can hold up to cattle hooves and sun will be placed from the tank to 22 water troughs.....	45
Figure 98. Initial section of HDPE line. Another 21,600 feet of line will be added.	45
Figure 99. Project Coordinator Delwyne Trefz demonstrates soil sample collection at one- and two-foot intervals.	46
Figure 100. Battery powered sensors tell the farmer when it is time for more irrigation.	46
Figure 101. (Left and right) Fertilizer and irrigation rates are being controlled through this project, and WQPA funds are being used for settling ponds, filterstrips, and stream bank stabilization BMPs along Jump Creek, behind the distant trees.....	46
Figure 102. Lindsay Creek has suffered down-cutting and bank erosion due to improper livestock access for decades.	47
Figure 103. Car bodies and other rubble have been dumped into and along the creek.	47
Figure 104. The landowner is interested in cleaning up the mess but intends on keeping cattle, including buffalo, in close proximity to the creek.....	47
Figure 105. The project is located on the edge of Lewiston, in a high-traffic area.	47
Figure 106. Concrete diversion structure placed in the late 1960s. In 1997, a flood pushed the creek (in the foreground) around the structure. The trees in front of and downstream of the diversion will be cut down.	48
Figure 107. This project begins at the diversion and goes about 230 feet upstream. The soil embankment will be replaced, armored and rip-rapped, and planted.	48
Figure 108. (Left and right) The area is sandy and significantly incised. The big tree to the left of the diversion will likely be cut down.....	48

List of Tables

Table 1. Budget summary for projects active during 2008, including projects that were closed during 2008..... 7
Table 2. Active or recently completed nonpoint source projects field-evaluated during 2008. 15

