CITY OF STITES (PWS 2250060)
SOURCE WATER ASSESSMENT REPORT

February 27, 2003

State of Idaho
Department of Environmental Quality

Disclaimer: This publication has been developed as part of an informational service for the source water assessments of public water systems in Idaho and is based on data available at the time and the professional judgement of the staff. Although reasonable efforts have been made to present accurate information, no guarantees, including expressed or implied warranties of any kind, are made with respect to this publication by the State of Idaho or any of its agencies, employees, or agents, who also assume no legal responsibility for the accuracy of presentations, comments, or other information in this publication. The assessment is subject to modification if new data is produced.

Executive Summary

Under the Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996, all states are required by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to assess every source of public drinking water for its relative sensitivity to contaminants regulated by the Act. This assessment is based on a land use inventory of the designated source water assessment area and sensitivity factors associated with the well and aquifer characteristics.

This report, *Source Water Assessment for City of Stites, Idaho*, describes the public drinking water system, the boundaries of the zones of water contribution, and the associated potential contaminant sources located within these boundaries. This assessment should be used as a planning tool, taken into account with local knowledge and concerns, to develop and implement appropriate protection measures for this source. **The results should <u>not be</u> used as an absolute measure of risk and they should <u>not be</u> used to undermine public confidence in the water system.**

The City of Stites drinking water system consists of two ground water wells: the deep well and the artesian well. The deep well is the primary well of the system. It is located at the north end of town, approximately 250 feet east of Highway 13. The artesian well acts as a backup to the system and is only used during the summer months. It is located on the shoulder of a paved road at the southeast end of town. The deep well supplies approximately 120 gallons per minute (gpm) of water to the system per day. When the artesian well is in use, it supplies approximately 20 gpm of water to the system. The drinking water from the wells is treated using a hypochlorination system and is stored in two reservoirs that supply a metered distribution system. The City of Stites drinking water system currently serves 253 people through 104 connections.

Final susceptibility scores are derived from equally weighing system construction scores, hydrologic sensitivity scores, and potential contaminant/land use scores. Therefore, a low rating in one or two categories coupled with a higher rating in other categories results in a final rating of low, moderate, or high susceptibility. With the potential contaminants associated with most urban and heavily agricultural areas, the best score a well can get is moderate. Potential Contaminants/Land Uses are divided into four categories, inorganic contaminants (IOCs, e.g. nitrates, arsenic), volatile organic contaminants (VOCs, e.g. petroleum products), synthetic organic contaminants (SOCs, e.g. pesticides), and microbial contaminants (e.g. bacteria). As different wells can be subject to various contamination settings, separate scores are given for each type of contaminant.

In terms of total susceptibility, the deep well rates moderate for all potential contaminant categories and the artesian well rates high for all potential contaminant categories. According to the 1993 Ground Water Under Direct Influence (GWUDI) field survey, the artesian well is located within 50 feet of a paved road and an irrigation ditch, resulting in an automatic high susceptibility to all potential contaminant categories. If the ditch and the road are relocated to a distance greater than 50 feet from the aretesian well, the overall susceptibility scores would be reduced to moderate for the well.

No VOCs or SOCs have ever been detected in the wells. Trace concentrations of the IOCs fluoride, lead, nitrate, and sodium have been detected in tested water, but at concentrations significantly below maximum contamination levels (MCLs) as set by the EPA. Alpha and beta particles (radionuclides) have also been detected in the distribution system and the deep well at levels below the MCLs. Total coliform bacteria have been detected repeatedly in the distribution system in January 1997. However, no coliform bacteria have been detected since that time.

This assessment should be used as a basis for determining appropriate new protection measures or re-evaluating existing protection efforts. No matter what ranking a source receives, protection is always important. Whether the source is currently located in a "pristine" area or an area with numerous industrial and/or agricultural land uses that require surveillance, the way to ensure good water quality in the future is to act now to protect valuable water supply resources. If the system should need to expand in the future, new well sites should be located in areas with as few potential sources of contamination as possible, and the site should be reserved and protected for this specific use.

For the City of Stites, drinking water protection activities should first focus on correcting any deficiencies outlined in the sanitary survey (an inspection conducted every five years with the purpose of determining the physical condition of a water system's components and its capacity). Actions should be taken to keep a 50-foot radius perimeter clear of all potential contaminants from around the wellhead. The City of Stites may want to consider limiting the use of the road and diverting the irrigation ditch to a distance of at least 50 feet from the artesian well to avoid contamination associated with these potential contaminant sources. Any contaminant spills within the delineation should be carefully monitored and dealt with. As much of the designated protection areas are outside the direct jurisdiction of the City of Stites drinking water system, collaboration and partnerships with state and local agencies, and industry groups should be established and are critical to the success of drinking water protection. In addition, the wells should maintain sanitary standards regarding wellhead protection.

Due to the time involved with the movement of ground water, drinking water protection activities should be aimed at long-term management strategies even though these strategies may not yield results in the near term. A strong public education program should be a primary focus on any drinking water protection plan as the delineation contains some urban and residential land uses. Public education topics could include proper lawn care practices, household hazardous waste disposal methods, and the importance of water conservation to name but a few. There are multiple resources available to help communities implement protection programs, including the Drinking Water Academy of the EPA. As there are transportation corridors through the delineation, the Idaho Department of Transportation should be involved in protection activities. Drinking water protection activities for agriculture should be coordinated with the Idaho State Department of Agriculture, the Soil Conservation Commission, the Clearwater Soil and Water Conservation District, and the Natural Resource Conservation Service.

A community must incorporate a variety of strategies in order to develop a comprehensive drinking water protection plan, be they regulatory in nature (e.g. zoning, permitting) or non-regulatory in nature (e.g. good housekeeping, public education, specific bet management practices). For assistance in developing protection strategies please contact the Lewiston Regional Office of the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality or the Idaho Rural Water Association.

SOURCE WATER ASSESSMENT FOR CITY OF STITES, IDAHO

Section 1. Introduction - Basis for Assessment

The following sections contain information necessary to understand how and why this assessment was conducted. **It is important to review this information to understand what the rankings of this assessment mean.** Maps showing the delineated source water assessment area and the inventory of significant potential sources of contamination identified within that area are attached. The list of significant potential contaminant source categories and their rankings used to develop the assessment is also included.

Background

Under the Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996, all states are required by the EPA to assess every source of public drinking water for its relative susceptibility to contaminants regulated by the Safe Drinking Water Act. This assessment is based on a land use inventory of the delineated assessment area and sensitivity factors associated with the wells and aquifer characteristics.

Level of Accuracy and Purpose of the Assessment

Since there are over 2,900 public water sources in Idaho, there is limited time and resources to accomplish the assessments. All assessments must be completed by May of 2003. An in-depth, site-specific investigation of each significant potential source of contamination is not possible. **Therefore, this assessment should be used as a planning tool, taken into account with local knowledge and concerns, to develop and implement appropriate protection measures for this source. The results should <u>not be</u> used as an absolute measure of risk and they should <u>not be</u> used to undermine public confidence in the water system.**

The ultimate goal of the assessment is to provide data to local communities to develop a protection strategy for their drinking water supply system. The Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) recognizes that pollution prevention activities generally require less time and money to implement than treatment of a public water supply system once it has been contaminated. DEQ encourages communities to balance resource protection with economic growth and development. The local community, based on its own needs and limitations, should determine the decision as to the amount and types of information necessary to develop a drinking water protection program. Wellhead or drinking water protection is one facet of a comprehensive growth plan, and it can complement ongoing local planning efforts.

Section 2. Conducting the Assessment

General Description of the Source Water Quality

The City of Stites drinking water system consists of two ground water wells: the deep well and the artesian well. The deep well is the primary well of the system. It is located at the north end of town, approximately 250 feet east of Highway 13. The artesian well acts as a backup to the system and is only used during the summer months. It is located on the shoulder of a paved road at the southeast end of town. The deep well supplies approximately 120 gpm of water to the system per day. When the artesian well is in use, it supplies approximately 20 gpm of water to the system. The drinking water from the wells is treated using a hypochlorination system and is stored in two reservoirs that supply a metered distribution system. The City of Stites drinking water system currently serves 253 people through 104 connections (Figure 1).

In terms of total susceptibility, the deep well rates moderate for all potential contaminant categories and the artesian well rates high for all potential contaminant categories. According to the 1993 GWUDI field survey, the artesian well is located within 50 feet of a paved road and an irrigation ditch, resulting in an automatic high susceptibility to all potential contaminants. If the ditch and the road are relocated to a distance greater than 50 feet from the aretesian well, the overall susceptibility scores would be reduced to moderate for the well.

No VOCs or SOCs have ever been detected in the wells. Trace concentrations of the IOCs fluoride, lead, nitrate, and sodium have been detected in tested water, but at concentrations significantly below MCLs as set by the EPA. Alpha and beta particles (radionuclides) have also been detected in the distribution system and the deep well at levels below the MCLs. Total coliform bacteria have been detected repeatedly in the distribution system in January 1997. However, no coliform bacteria have been detected since that time.

Defining the Zones of Contribution – Delineation

The delineation process establishes the physical area around a well that will become the focal point of the assessment. The process includes mapping the boundaries of the zone of contribution into time-of-travel (TOT) zones (zones indicating the number of years necessary for a particle of water to reach a well) for water in the aquifer. DEQ contracted with the University of Idaho to perform the delineations using a refined computer model approved by the EPA in determining the 3-year (Zone 1B), 6-year (Zone 2), and 10-year (Zone 3) TOT for water in the vicinity of the City of Stites wells. The computer model used site specific data, assimilated by the University of Idaho from a variety of sources including operator input, local area well logs, and hydrogeologic reports (detailed below).

FIGURE 1. Geographic Location of the City of Stites



The conceptual hydrogeologic model for the Stites area source wells is based on interpretation of available well logs, published geologic maps and reports by Sprenke and Associates. Stites lies along the eastern side of the South Fork of the Clearwater River, south of Kooskia. Bedrock geology is based on the geologic maps of the Hamilton quadrangle and Pullman quadrangle at a scale of 1:250,000 (Rember and Bennett, 1979). Sprenke and Associates (1991) conducted a ground water analysis of the Stites area. According to Sprenke and Associates (1991) a north-trending normal fault bounds the area to the east; the western side of the area may be bound by another fault, which is not mapped nor is shown in the report. Another unmapped fault may bound the area to the north (also not shown), which may isolate ground water flow from the Clearwater River. A southern boundary has not been identified. Water is derived from the Grande Ronde Basalt aquifer (Sprenke and Associates, 1991). Sprenke and Associates suggested that theriver forms a positive boundary where it flows over aquifers that crop out in the river near Kooskia (e.g. leakage to the ground water); they represented the boundary as a constant head in the northern part of their numerical model.

A north-south trending fault is mapped through Kooskia and Stites. According to Sprenke and Associates (1991) the fault is a barrier to flow. Another fault (west-east trending) is believed to connect with the top of the north-south fault and project toward the east at a 90-degree angle.

The headwaters of the Clearwater River are approximately seven miles east of Syringa, ID at the confluence of the Lochsa and Selway Rivers. The river discharges into the Snake River at Lewiston. Most of the water in the river during baseflow conditions is from ground water. Runoff of snowmelt contributes to the river during the spring months. Near Kamiah the Clearwater River separates two generalized hydrologic provinces, the Clearwater Plateau to the west and the Clearwater Uplands to the east. It is unknown whether the Clearwater River is gaining or losing at this reach of the river due to the complex hydrogeology. Water elevations in wells near and adjacent to the river are very different supporting Sprenke and Associates' hypothesis that a no-flow boundary exists in the area. The Clearwater River has an elevation of approximately 1263 feet mean sea level (msl). Sprenke and Associates (1991) modeled the Middle Fork of the River as a constant head boundary.

The South Fork of the Clearwater River is also believed to act as a constant head based on nearby water levels.

A no-flow boundary represents the north-south trending fault through Kooskia and Stites. The west-east trending fault is not included because there are no data to suggest that it forms a boundary. The fault does not affect the capture zones or test point calibration when added to the models.

The Middle Fork of the Clearwater River is modeled as a constant head boundary with an elevation of 1263 feet.

The South Fork of the Clearwater River is modeled as a constant head boundary with a northern elevation of 1260 ft msl and a southern elevation of 1326 ft msl.

No aquifer recharge data are available for the Kooskia area. In a study by Wyatt-Jaykim (1994) recharge to the central basin (Lewiston basin) was modeled as 1 inches per year (in/yr); 2 in/yr was selected in the higher areas. Because the Kooskia area lies at a higher elevation, precipitation rates are higher. Recharge is therefore expected to be greater.

The amount of areal recharge used in the model for the source wells was 2 in/yr. This is a low value for higher elevations. Elevations in the vicinity of the well are approximately 1300 feet above mean sea level (AMSL) with the nearby topography climbing to over 2000 feet AMSL compared to Lewiston at approximately 700 feet AMSL.

The WhAEM model is used to delineate the capture zones. Nearby wells were used for test points in the WhAEM simulations. Information on test points was obtained from a search of the Idaho Department of Water Resources database available on the internet. The locations of the test points are limited to information supplied on well logs, typically the quarter-quarter section (0.25 $mile^2$). Therefore, the accuracy of the test point elevation and the static water elevation is dependent upon the accuracy of the driller's log and the topographic relief in the quarter-quarter section.

The capture zones delineated herein are based on limited data and must be taken as best estimates. If more data become available in the future these delineations should be adjusted based on additional modeling incorporating the new data. The WhAEM model is used to delineate the capture zones.

The delineated areas for the City of Stites wells can best be described as southeast trending corridors that extend from the wells approximately 2 miles toward Battle Ridge and the Nez Perce Indian Reservation boundary (Figure 2 and Figure 3). The actual data used by the University of Idaho in determining the source water assessment delineation areas is available from DEQ upon request.

Identifying Potential Sources of Contamination

A potential source of contamination is defined as any facility or activity that stores, uses, or produces, as a product or by-product, the contaminants regulated under the Safe Drinking Water Act and has a sufficient likelihood of releasing such contaminants at levels that could pose a concern relative to drinking water sources. The goal of the inventory process is to locate and describe those facilities, land uses, and environmental conditions that are potential sources of ground water contamination. The locations of potential sources of contamination within the delineation areas were obtained by field surveys conducted by DEQ and from available databases.

Land use within the immediate area of the City of Stites wells is predominantly woodland and the surrounding area of the City of Stites wells is predominantly agricultural land use.

It is important to understand that a release may never occur from a potential source of contamination provided they are using best management practices. Many potential sources of contamination are regulated at the federal level, state level, or both to reduce the risk of release. Therefore, when a business, facility, or property is identified as a potential contaminant source, this should not be interpreted to mean that this business, facility, or property is in violation of any local, state, or federal environmental law or regulation. What it does mean is that the <u>potential</u> for contamination exists due to the nature of the business, industry, or operation. There are a number of methods that water systems can use to work cooperatively with potential sources of contamination, including educational visits and inspections of stored materials. Many owners of such facilities may not even be aware that they are located near a public water supply well.

FIGURE 2. City of Stites Delineation Map and Potential Contaminant Source Locations



PWS# 2250060
ARTESIAN WELL

FIGURE 3. City of Stites Delineation Map and Potential Contaminant Source Locations



PWS# 2250060
DEEP WELL

Contaminant Source Inventory Process

A two-phased contaminant inventory of the study area was conducted in November and December 2002. The first phase involved identifying and documenting potential contaminant sources within the City of Stites source water assessment areas (Figure 2 and Figure 3) through the use of field surveys, computer databases and Geographic Information System (GIS) maps developed by DEQ. The second, or enhanced, phase of the contaminant inventory involved contacting the operator to identify and add any additional potential sources in the area.

The delineated source water assessment area of the deep well of the City of Stites wells contains Highway 13, an unimproved road, an underground storage tank (UST), a carpet and rug cleaner business and a sewer system. The delineated area of the artesian well of the City of Stites contains paved road and an irrigation ditch that, according to the 1993 GWUDI field survey, runs within 50 feet of the wellhead. All of these potential contaminant sources could contribute leachable pollutants to the aquifer in the event of an accidental spill, release, or flood. Table 1 and Table 2 below list the potential contaminants for the wells.

Table 1. City of Stites, Deep Well, Potential Contaminant Inventory and Land Use

Site	Description of Source[1]	TOT[2] Zone	Source of Information	Potential Contaminants[3]
1	UST-Closed	0-3 YR	Database Search	VOC, SOC
2	Carpet and Rug Cleaners	0-3 YR	Database Search	VOC
	Highway 13	0-3 YR	GIS Map	IOC, VOC, SOC, Microbials
	Unimproved Road	6-10 YR	GIS Map	IOC, VOC, SOC
	Sewer System	0-3 YR	GWUDI Survey	IOC, Microbials

[1] UST = underground storage tank
[2] TOT = time-of-travel (in years) for a potential contaminant to reach the wellhead
[3] IOC = inorganic chemical, VOC = volatile organic chemical, SOC = synthetic organic chemical

Table 2. City of Stites, Artesian Well, Potential Contaminant Inventory and Land Use

Site	Description of Source[1]	TOT[2] Zone	Source of Information	Potential Contaminants[3]
	Paved Road	0-10 YR	GIS Map, GWUDI Survey	IOC, VOC, SOC, Microbials
	Creek	0-10 YR	GIS Map	IOC, VOC, SOC, Microbials
	Irrigation Ditch	0-3 YR (1A)	GWUDI Survey	IOC, VOC, SOC, Microbials

[2] TOT = time-of-travel (in years) for a potential contaminant to reach the wellhead
[3] IOC = inorganic chemical, VOC = volatile organic chemical, SOC = synthetic organic chemical

Section 3. Susceptibility Analyses

Each well's susceptibility to contamination was ranked as high, moderate, or low risk according to the following considerations: hydrologic characteristics, physical integrity of the well, land use characteristics, and potentially significant contaminant sources. The susceptibility rankings are specific to a particular potential contaminant or category of contaminants. Therefore, a high susceptibility rating relative to one potential contaminant does not mean that the water system is at the same risk for all other potential contaminants. The relative ranking that is derived for each well is a qualitative, screening-level step that, in many cases, uses generalized assumptions and best professional judgement. Appendix A contains the susceptibility analysis worksheets for the system. The following summaries describe the rationale for the susceptibility ranking.

Hydrologic Sensitivity

The hydrologic sensitivity of a well is dependent upon four factors: the surface soil composition, the material in the vadose zone (between the land surface and the water table), the depth to first ground water, and the presence of a 50-foot thick fine-grained zone (aquitard) above the producing zone of the well. Slowly draining soils such as silt and clay typically are more protective of ground water than coarse-grained soils such as sand and gravel. Similarly, fine-grained sediments in the subsurface and a water depth of more than 300 feet protect the ground water from contamination.

Hydrologic sensitivity rated moderate for both wells of the City of Stites. Area soils are poorly to moderately drained, reducing the scores. The well log for the artesian well was not available preventing a determination of the composition of the vadose zone, the location of first ground water, and the presence of any fine-grained zones that could form an aquitard above the producing zone. The well log for the deep well indicates that the composition of the vadose zone consists mostly of basalt. First ground water for the deep well was found between 64 and 68 feet below ground surface (bgs).

Well Construction

Well construction directly affects the ability of the well to protect the aquifer from contaminants. System construction scores are reduced when information shows that potential contaminants will have a more difficult time reaching the intake of the well. Lower scores imply a system is less vulnerable to contamination. For example, if the well casing and annular seal both extend into a low permeability unit, then the possibility of contamination is reduced and the system construction score goes down. If the highest production interval is more than 100 feet below the water table, then the system is considered to have better buffering capacity. If the wellhead and surface seal are maintained to standards, as outlined in sanitary surveys, then contamination down the well bore is less likely. If the well is protected from surface flooding and is outside the 100-year floodplain, then contamination from surface events is reduced. A sanitary survey was conducted in 2001 for the system.

The artesian well of the City of Stites was drilled before 1960 to a depth between 55 and 80 bgs. It is cased to 24 feet bgs with an eight-inch casing. The well log for this well is unavailable, limiting the amount of construction information concerning the casing thickness, the location of low permeability units, and the highest production zone. This well is used mainly in the summer months and as a backup well for the deep well.

The deep well of the City of Stites was drilled in 1977 to a depth of 145 feet bgs. It has an eight-inch casing that extends to a depth of 135 feet bgs into black and brown basalt. The well log did not provide information concerning the thickness of the casing, the annular seal depth, the highest production zone, or the static water level. The well currently pumps 120 gpm of water for the system.

The artesian well of the City of Stites has a highly susceptible system construction and the deep well has a moderately susceptible system construction. According to the 2001 sanitary survey, the wellhead and surface seals for both wells are maintained to standards and the wells are properly vented. The wells are located outside of the 100-year flood plain and the deep well is properly protected from surface flooding. However, the casing of the artesian well only extends 12 to 14 inches above ground surface, less than the required 18 inches. Therefore, the artesian well is not properly protected from flooding. Additionally, the well log for the artesian well was not available, limiting the construction information for this well and further increasing the system construction score. Not enough information was provided for either of the wells to determine the thickness of the casings, the highest production zones, or the static water level.

Though the wells may have been in compliance with standards when it was completed, current PWS well construction standards are more stringent. The Idaho Department of Water Resources *Well Construction Standards Rules* (1993) require all PWSs to follow DEQ standards as well. IDAPA 58.01.08.550 requires that PWSs follow the *Recommended Standards for Water Works* (1997) during construction. These standards include provisions for well screens, pumping tests, and casing thicknesses to name a few. Table 1 of the *Recommended Standards for Water Works* (1997) lists the required steel casing thickness for various diameter wells. In this case, there was insufficient information available to determine if either of the wells meet all the criteria outlined in the IDWR Well Construction Standards.

Potential Contaminant Source and Land Use

Both of the City of Stites wells rated moderate for IOCs (e.g. nitrates, arsenic), VOCs (e.g. petroleum products, chlorinated solvents) and SOCs (e.g. pesticides), and low for microbial contaminants (e.g. bacteria). The woodland in the 3-year TOT zone combined with the more contaminating agricultural land in the 6-year and 10-year TOT zones contributed to the land use scores of the wells. The limited number of potential contaminants surrounding both wells reduced the overall potential contaminant land use scores.

Final Susceptibility Ranking

An IOC detection above a drinking water standard MCL, any detection of a VOC or SOC, or a detection of total coliform bacteria or fecal coliform bacteria at the wellhead will automatically give a high susceptibility rating to a well despite the land use of the area because a pathway for contamination already exists. Additionally, if there are contaminant sources located within 50 feet of the source then the wellhead will automatically get a high susceptibility rating. According to the 1993 GWUDI field survey, an irrigation canal and a paved road run within 50 feet of the artesian well, resulting in a high overall susceptibility to all potential contaminants for this well. Hydrologic sensitivity and system construction scores are heavily weighted in the final scores. Having multiple potential contaminant sources in the 0 to 3-year time of travel zone (Zone 1B) and agricultural land contribute greatly to the overall ranking. The aretesian well has an automatic high susceptibility to all potential contaminant categories and the deep well has moderate susceptibility to all potential contaminant categories.

Table 3. Summary of City of Stites Susceptibility Evaluation

Well	Susceptibility Scores[1]									
	Hydrologic Sensitivity	Contaminant Inventory				System Construction	**Final Susceptibility Ranking**			
		IOC	VOC	SOC	Microbials		IOC	VOC	SOC	Microbials
Artesian Well	M	M	M	M	L	H	H*	H*	H*	H*
Deep Well	M	M	M	M	L	M	M	M	M	M

[1]H = High Susceptibility, M = Moderate Susceptibility, L = Low Susceptibility,
IOC = inorganic chemical, VOC = volatile organic chemical, SOC = synthetic organic chemical
H* = Automatic high susceptibility due to an irrigation ditch and a paved road that runs within 50 feet of the wellhead

Susceptibility Summary

The City of Stites drinking water system consists of two ground water wells: the deep well and the artesian well. The deep well is the primary well of the system. It is located at the north end of town, approximately 250 feet east of Highway 13. The artesian well acts as a backup to the system and is only used during the summer months. It is located on the shoulder of a paved road at the southeast end of town. The deep well supplies approximately 120 gpm of water to the system per day. When the artesian well is in use, it supplies approximately 20 gpm of water to the system. The drinking water from the wells is treated using a hypochlorination system and is stored in two reservoirs that supply a metered distribution system. The City of Stites drinking water system currently serves 253 people through 104 connections (Figure 1).

In terms of total susceptibility, the deep well rates moderate for all potential contaminant categories and the artesian well rates high for all potential contaminant categories. According to the 1993 GWUDI field survey, the artesian well is located within 50 feet of a paved road and an irrigation ditch, resulting in an automatic high susceptibility to all potential contaminants. If the ditch and the road are relocated to a distance greater than 50 feet from the aretesian well, the overall susceptibility scores would be reduced to moderate for the well.

Section 4. Options for Drinking Water Protection

The susceptibility assessment should be used as a basis for determining appropriate new protection measures or re-evaluating existing protection efforts. No matter what the susceptibility ranking a source receives, protection is always important. Whether the source is currently located in a “pristine” area or an area with numerous industrial and/or agricultural land uses that require surveillance, the way to ensure good water quality in the future is to act now to protect valuable water supply resources.

For the City of Stites, drinking water protection activities should first focus on correcting any deficiencies outlined in the sanitary survey. Actions should be taken to keep a 50-foot radius perimeter clear of all potential contaminants from around the wellhead. The City of Stites may want to consider limiting the use of the road and diverting the irrigation ditch to a distance of at least 50 feet from the artesian well to avoid contamination associated with these potential contaminant sources. Any contaminant spills within the delineation should be carefully monitored and dealt with. As much of the designated protection areas are outside the direct jurisdiction of the City of Stites drinking water system, collaboration and partnerships with state and local agencies, and industry groups should be established and are critical to the success of drinking water protection. In addition, the wells should maintain sanitary standards regarding wellhead protection.

Due to the time involved with the movement of ground water, drinking water protection activities should be aimed at long-term management strategies even though these strategies may not yield results in the near term. As there are many houses within the delineation, a strong public education program should be a primary focus of any drinking water protection plan. Public education topics could include proper lawn and garden care practices, hazardous waste disposal methods, proper care and maintenance of septic systems, and the importance of water conservation to name but a few. There are multiple resources available to help communities implement protection programs, including the Drinking Water Academy of the EPA.

A system must incorporate a variety of strategies in order to develop a comprehensive drinking water protection plan, be they regulatory in nature (e.g. zoning, permitting) or non-regulatory in nature (e.g. good housekeeping, public education, specific best management practices). For assistance in developing protection strategies please contact the Lewiston Regional Office of the DEQ or the Idaho Rural Water Association.

Assistance

Public water supplies and others may call the following DEQ offices with questions about this assessment and to request assistance with developing and implementing a local protection plan. In addition, draft protection plans may be submitted to the DEQ office for preliminary review and comments.

Lewiston Regional DEQ Office	(208) 799-4370
State DEQ Office	(208) 373-0502

Website: http://www.deq.state.id.us

Water suppliers serving fewer than 10,000 persons may contact Melinda Harper, mlharper@idahoruralwater.com, Idaho Rural Water Association, at 208-343-7001 for assistance with drinking water protection (formerly wellhead protection) strategies.

POTENTIAL CONTAMINANT INVENTORY
LIST OF ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS

AST (Aboveground Storage Tanks) – Sites with aboveground storage tanks.

Business Mailing List – This list contains potential contaminant sites identified through a yellow pages database search of standard industry codes (SIC).

CERCLIS – This includes sites considered for listing under the **Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA)**. CERCLA, more commonly known as Superfund is designed to clean up hazardous waste sites that are on the national priority list (NPL).

Cyanide Site – DEQ permitted and known historical sites/facilities using cyanide.

Dairy – Sites included in the primary contaminant source inventory represent those facilities regulated by Idaho State Department of Agriculture (ISDA) and may range from a few head to several thousand head of milking cows.

Deep Injection Well – Injection wells regulated under the Idaho Department of Water Resources generally for the disposal of stormwater runoff or agricultural field drainage.

Enhanced Inventory – Enhanced inventory locations are potential contaminant source sites added by the water system. These can include new sites not captured during the primary contaminant inventory, or corrected locations for sites not properly located during the primary contaminant inventory. Enhanced inventory sites can also include miscellaneous sites added by the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) during the primary contaminant inventory.

Floodplain – This is a coverage of the 100year floodplains.

Group 1 Sites – These are sites that show elevated levels of contaminants and are not within the priority one areas.

Inorganic Priority Area – Priority one areas where greater than 25% of the wells/springs show constituents higher than primary standards or other health standards.

Landfill – Areas of open and closed municipal and non-municipal landfills.

LUST (Leaking Underground Storage Tank) – Potential contaminant source sites associated with leaking underground storage tanks as regulated under RCRA.

Mines and Quarries – Mines and quarries permitted through the Idaho Department of Lands.)

Nitrate Priority Area – Area where greater than 25% of wells/springs show nitrate values above 5 mg/L.

NPDES (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System) – Sites with NPDES permits. The Clean Water Act requires that any discharge of a pollutant to waters of the United States from a point source must be authorized by an NPDES permit.

Organic Priority Areas – These are any areas where greater than 25 % of wells/springs show levels greater than 1% of the primary standard or other health standards.

Recharge Point – This includes active, proposed, and possible recharge sites on the Snake River Plain.

RICRIS – Site regulated under **Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA)**. RCRA is commonly associated with the cradle to grave management approach for generation, storage, and disposal of hazardous wastes.

SARA Tier II (Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act Tier II Facilities) – These sites store certain types and amounts of hazardous materials and must be identified under the Community Right to Know Act.

Toxic Release Inventory (TRI) – The toxic release inventory list was developed as part of the Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know (Community Right to Know) Act passed in 1986. The Community Right to Know Act requires the reporting of any release of a chemical found on the TRI list.

UST (Underground Storage Tank) – Potential contaminant source sites associated with underground storage tanks regulated as regulated under RCRA.

Wastewater Land Applications Sites – These are areas where the land application of municipal or industrial wastewater is permitted by DEQ.

Wellheads – These are drinking water well locations regulated under the Safe Drinking Water Act. They are not treated as potential contaminant sources.

NOTE: Many of the potential contaminant sources were located using a geocoding program where mailing addresses are used to locate a facility. Field verification of potential contaminant sources is an important element of an enhanced inventory.

Where possible, a list of potential contaminant sites unable to be located with geocoding will be provided to water systems to determine if the potential contaminant sources are located within the source water assessment area.

References Cited

Great Lakes-Upper Mississippi River Board of State and Provincial Public Health and Environmental Managers, 1997. "Recommended Standards for Water Works."

Idaho Department of Environmental Quality, 1997. Design Standards for Public Drinking Water Systems. IDAPA 58.01.08.550.01.

Idaho Department of Water Resources, 1993. Administrative Rules of the Idaho Water Resource Board: Well Construction Standards Rules. IDAPA 37.03.09.

Idaho Division of Environmental Quality, 2001. Sanitary survey for City of Stites.

Idaho Division of Environmental Quality, 1993. GWUDI field survey for City of Stites.

Freeze, R.A., and Cherry, J.A.; 1979. Ground water, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 604p.

Rember, W.C., and Bennett, E.H.; 1979. Geologic Map of the Hamilton Quadrangle, Idaho, Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology, Moscow, ID.

Rember, W.C., and Bennett, E.H.; 1979. Geologic Map of the Pullman Quadrangle, Idaho, Idaho Bureau of Mines and Geology, Moscow, ID.

Sprenke, K.F., and Ralston, D.R.; 1991. Numerical analysis of the Stites site, Nez Perce Reservation, Idaho: Proprietary Report for the Nez Perce Tribe, Lapwai, Idaho (used with permission from the Tribe).

Williams, B.C., Nimmer, R.E., and Owen, A.; 2001. Clearwater Plateau Source Water Assessment Report, IDEQ.

Wyatt-Jaykim Engineers; 1994; Lewiston Basin deep aquifer study, prepared for Lewiston Orchards Irrigation Didstrict (LOID).

Appendix A

City of Stites
Susceptibility Analysis
Worksheets

The final scores for the susceptibility analysis were determined using the following formulas:

1) VOC/SOC/IOC Final Score = Hydrologic Sensitivity + System Construction + (Potential Contaminant/Land Use x 0.2)

2) Microbial Final Score = Hydrologic Sensitivity + System Construction + (Potential Contaminant/Land Use x 0.375)

Final Susceptibility Scoring:

0 - 5 Low Susceptibility

6 - 12 Moderate Susceptibility

≥ 13 High Susceptibility

Ground Water Susceptibility Report Public Water System Name : STITES CITY OF Well# : ARTESIAN WELL

Public Water System Number 2250060 12/12/02 3:25:12 PM

1. System Construction		SCORE
Drill Date	Pre-1960	
Driller Log Available	NO	
Sanitary Survey (if yes, indicate date of last survey)	YES	2001
Well meets IDWR construction standards	NO	1
Wellhead and surface seal maintained	YES	0
Casing and annular seal extend to low permeability unit	NO	2
Highest production 100 feet below static water level	NO	1
Well located outside the 100 year flood plain	NO	1
Total System Construction Score		5

2. Hydrologic Sensitivity		
Soils are poorly to moderately drained	YES	0
Vadose zone composed of gravel, fractured rock or unknown	YES	1
Depth to first water > 300 feet	NO	1
Aquitard present with > 50 feet cumulative thickness	NO	2
Total Hydrologic Score		4

3. Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1A		IOC Score	VOC Score	SOC Score	Microbial Score
Land Use Zone 1A	RANGELAND, WOODLAND, BASALT	0	0	0	0
Farm chemical use high	NO	0	0	0	
IOC, VOC, SOC, or Microbial sources in Zone 1A	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Total Potential Contaminant Source/Land Use Score - Zone 1A		0	0	0	0
Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1B					
Contaminant sources present (Number of Sources)	YES	2	2	2	2
(Score = # Sources X 2) 8 Points Maximum		4	4	4	4
Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or	YES	2	2	2	
4 Points Maximum		2	2	2	
Zone 1B contains or intercepts a Group 1 Area	NO	0	0	0	0
Land use Zone 1B	Less Than 25% Agricultural Land	0	0	0	0
Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone 1B		6	6	6	4
Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE II					
Contaminant Sources Present	YES	2	2	2	
Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or	YES	1	1	1	
Land Use Zone II	Less than 25% Agricultural Land	0	0	0	
Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone II		3	3	3	0
Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE III					
Contaminant Source Present	YES	1	1	1	
Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or	YES	1	1	1	
Is there irrigated agricultural lands that occupy > 50% of	NO	0	0	0	
Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone III		2	2	2	0
Cumulative Potential Contaminant / Land Use Score		11	11	11	4
4. Final Susceptibility Source Score		11	11	11	11
5. Final Well Ranking		High	High	High	High

Ground Water Susceptibility Report Public Water System Name : STITES CITY OF Well# : DEEP WELL
Public Water System Number 2250060 12/12/02 3:25:24 PM

1. System Construction		SCORE
Drill Date	2/11/77	
Driller Log Available	YES	
Sanitary Survey (if yes, indicate date of last survey)	YES	2001
Well meets IDWR construction standards	NO	1
Wellhead and surface seal maintained	YES	0
Casing and annular seal extend to low permeability unit	NO	0
Highest production 100 feet below static water level	NO	1
Well located outside the 100 year flood plain	YES	0
Total System Construction Score		4

2. Hydrologic Sensitivity		
Soils are poorly to moderately drained	YES	0
Vadose zone composed of gravel, fractured rock or unknown	YES	1
Depth to first water > 300 feet	NO	1
Aquitard present with > 50 feet cumulative thickness	NO	2
Total Hydrologic Score		4

3. Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1A		IOC Score	VOC Score	SOC Score	Microbial Score
Land Use Zone 1A	RANGELAND, WOODLAND, BASALT	0	0	0	0
Farm chemical use high	NO	0	0	0	
IOC, VOC, SOC, or Microbial sources in Zone 1A	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Total Potential Contaminant Source/Land Use Score - Zone 1A		0	0	0	0
Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1B					
Contaminant sources present (Number of Sources)	YES	2	3	2	2
(Score = # Sources X 2) 8 Points Maximum		4	6	4	4
Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or	YES	4	3	2	
4 Points Maximum		4	3	2	
Zone 1B contains or intercepts a Group 1 Area	NO	0	0	0	0
Land use Zone 1B	25 to 50% Irrigated Agricultural Land	2	2	2	2
Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone 1B		10	11	8	6
Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE II					
Contaminant Sources Present	NO	0	0	0	
Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or	YES	1	1	1	
Land Use Zone II	Greater Than 50% Non-Irrigated Agricultural	1	1	1	
Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone II		2	2	2	0
Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE III					
Contaminant Source Present	YES	1	1	1	
Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or	YES	1	1	1	
Is there irrigated agricultural lands that occupy > 50% of	NO	0	0	0	
Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone III		2	2	2	0
Cumulative Potential Contaminant / Land Use Score		14	15	12	6
4. Final Susceptibility Source Score		11	11	10	10
5. Final Well Ranking		Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate