



July 24, 2012

Mr. Mike May
Sr. Water Quality Specialist
Department of Environmental Quality
State Office
1410 North Hilton
Boise, Idaho, 83706

Re: City of Dietrich Environmental Information Document Addendum No. 2

Dear Mr. May:

Please find this letter in response to your email dated July 13, 2011 requesting additional information regarding the *City of Dietrich, Water System Improvements Environmental Information Document (EID)*. This letter constitutes a letter addendum No. 2 to the original EID approved by DEQ on April 13, 2012. Responses have been prepared for each bullet in the July 13th email (attached for reference).

1st Bullet—Based on the best information available, the garbage was buried in the land fill and then reseeded. The garbage was not dug up and moved.


2nd Bullet—The landfill was 20 to 30 feet wide and 50 to 100 yards in length. There was a fence just east of the land fill to catch papers and such that blew out of the land fill. After the land fill was buried, the fence was removed. We are not aware that anyone with the city or the county kept records on the landfill. There were some garbage dumped about 1/2 of a mile north west of the land fill that was never buried which mainly consisted of old cars.

3rd Bullet—Based on conversations with representatives of the BLM, they were not aware of the dumping and disposal activities at the land fill. However, the BLM directed the burial, reseeded, and closure of the landfill area.

4th Bullet—Based on field observations of the proposed well site area, there are no wetlands in the vicinity of the area that will be disturbed as part of the well construction. Photos of the well area have been enclosed to demonstrate the upland topography and vegetation.



5th Bullet—The hydraulic modeling and text narrative presented in Bullet #5 and #6 of the letter dated July 9, 2012 and this letter was completed under the supervision of Chuck Brockway, a professional geologist and engineer. The capture zone analysis was completed for a continuous discharge rate from the new well of 200 gpm, or 38,503 ft³/day. The 20-year peak day demand is estimated to be 180 gpm; consequently, 200 gpm is a conservative assumption. Aquifer parameters were the same as described previously. The resulting capture zone is slightly wider due to the increased discharge rate, and is plotted on the following revised Figure 3.



6th Bullet—The water levels will be monitored in the existing and proposed wells during the pump test of the new well.

Please disregard the last paragraph referencing three stamped, revised reports in my previous letter dated July 9, 2012. Reference to stamped, revised reports was a typo and none were or will be provided.

Thank you,

KELLER ASSOCIATES, INC.

Justin Walker, P.E.
Project Manager

cc: Don Heiken (City of Dietrich)
Chuck Brockway Jr.
File