

ATTACHMENT #2

**GUIDANCE DOCUMENT
ON DISSOLVED CRITERIA
Expression of Aquatic Life Criteria
October 1993**

Percent Dissolved in Aquatic Toxicity Tests on Metals

The attached table contains all the data that were found concerning the percent of the total recoverable metal that was dissolved in aquatic toxicity tests. This table is intended to contain the available data that are relevant to the conversion of EPA's aquatic life criteria for metals from a total recoverable basis to a dissolved basis. (A factor of 1.0 is used to convert aquatic life criteria for metals that are expressed on the basis of the acid-soluble measurement to criteria expressed on the basis of the total recoverable measurement.) Reports by Grunwald (1992) and Brungs et al. (1992) provided references to many of the documents in which pertinent data were found. Each document was obtained and examined to determine whether it contained useful data.

"Dissolved" is defined as metal that passes through a 0.45- μm membrane filter. If otherwise acceptable, data that were obtained using 0.3- μm glass fiber filters and 0.1- μm membrane filters were used, and are identified in the table; these data did not seem to be outliers.

Data were used only if the metal was in a dissolved inorganic form when it was added to the dilution water. In addition, data were used only if they were generated in water that would have been acceptable for use as a dilution water in tests used in the derivation of water quality criteria for aquatic life; in particular, the pH had to be between 6.5 and 9.0, and the concentrations of total organic carbon (TOC) and total suspended solids (TSS) had to be below 5 mg/L. Thus most data generated using river water would not be used.

Some data were not used for other reasons. Data presented by Carroll et al. (1979) for cadmium were not used because 9 of the 36 values were above 150%. Data presented by Davies et al. (1976) for lead and Holcombe and Andrew (1978) for zinc were not used because "dissolved" was defined on the basis of polarography, rather than filtration.

Beyond this, the data were not reviewed for quality. Horowitz et al. (1992) reported that a number of aspects of the filtration procedure might affect the results. In addition, there might be concern about use of "clean techniques" and adequate QA/QC.

Each line in the table is intended to represent a separate piece of information. All of the data in the table were determined in fresh water, because no saltwater data were found. Data are becoming available for copper in salt water from the New York

Harbor study; based on the first set of tests, Hansen (1993) suggested that the average percent of the copper that is dissolved in sensitive saltwater tests is in the range of 76 to 82 percent.

A thorough investigation of the percent of total recoverable metal that is dissolved in toxicity tests might attempt to determine if the percentage is affected by test technique (static, renewal, flow-through), feeding (were the test animals fed and, if so, what food and how much), water quality characteristics (hardness, alkalinity, pH, salinity), test organisms (species, loading), etc.

The attached table also gives the freshwater criteria concentrations (CMC and CCC) because percentages for total recoverable concentrations much (e.g., more than a factor of 3) above or below the CMC and CCC are likely to be less relevant. When a criterion is expressed as a hardness equation, the range given extends from a hardness of 50 mg/L to a hardness of 200 mg/L.

The following is a summary of the available information for each metal:

Arsenic(III)

The data available indicate that the percent dissolved is about 100, but all the available data are for concentrations that are much higher than the CMC and CCC.

Cadmium

Schuytema et al. (1984) reported that "there were no real differences" between measurements of total and dissolved cadmium at concentrations of 10 to 80 ug/L (pH = 6.7 to 7.8, hardness = 25 mg/L, and alkalinity = 33 mg/L); total and dissolved concentrations were said to be "virtually equivalent".

The CMC and CCC are close together and only range from 0.66 to 8.6 ug/L. The only available data that are known to be in the range of the CMC and CCC were determined with a glass fiber filter. The percentages that are probably most relevant are 75, 92, 89, 78, and 80.

Chromium(III)

The percent dissolved decreased as the total recoverable concentration increased, even though the highest concentrations reduced the pH substantially. The percentages that are probably

most relevant to the CMC are 50-75, whereas the percentages that are probably most relevant to the CCC are 86 and 61.

Chromium(VI)

The data available indicate that the percent dissolved is about 100, but all the available data are for concentrations that are much higher than the CMC and CCC.

Copper

Howarth and Sprague (1978) reported that the total and dissolved concentrations of copper were "little different" except when the total copper concentration was above 500 ug/L at hardness = 360 mg/L and pH = 8 or 9. Chakoumakos et al. (1979) found that the percent dissolved depended more on alkalinity than on hardness, pH, or the total recoverable concentration of copper.

Chapman (1993) and Lazorchak (1987) both found that the addition of daphnid food affected the percent dissolved very little, even though Chapman used yeast-trout chow-alfalfa whereas Lazorchak used algae in most tests, but yeast-trout chow-alfalfa in some tests. Chapman (1993) found a low percent dissolved with and without food, whereas Lazorchak (1987) found a high percent dissolved with and without food. All of Lazorchak's values were in high hardness water; Chapman's one value in high hardness water was much higher than his other values.

Chapman (1993) and Lazorchak (1987) both compared the effect of food on the total recoverable LC50 with the effect of food on the dissolved LC50. Both authors found that food raised both the dissolved LC50 and the total recoverable LC50 in about the same proportion, indicating that food did not raise the total recoverable LC50 by sorbing metal onto food particles; possibly the food raised both LC50s by (a) decreasing the toxicity of dissolved metal, (b) forming nontoxic dissolved complexes with the metal, or (c) reducing uptake.

The CMC and CCC are close together and only range from 6.5 to 34 ug/L. The percentages that are probably most relevant are 74, 95, 95, 73, 57, 53, 52, 64, and 91.

Lead

The data presented in Spehar et al. (1978) were from Holcombe et al. (1976). Both Chapman (1993) and Holcombe et al. (1976) found that the percent dissolved increased as the total recoverable concentration increased. It would seem reasonable to expect more precipitate at higher total recoverable concentrations and

therefore a lower percent dissolved at higher concentrations. The increase in percent dissolved with increasing concentration might be due to a lowering of the pH as more metal is added if the stock solution was acidic.

The percentages that are probably most relevant to the CMC are 9, 18, 25, 10, 62, 68, 71, 75, 81, and 95, whereas the percentages that are probably most relevant to the CCC are 9 and 10.

Mercury

The only percentage that is available is 73, but it is for a concentration that is much higher than the CMC.

Nickel

The percentages that are probably most relevant to the CMC are 88, 93, 92, and 100, whereas the only percentage that is probably relevant to the CCC is 76.

Selenium

No data are available.

Silver

There is a CMC, but not a CCC. The percentage dissolved seems to be greatly reduced by the food used to feed daphnids, but not by the food used to feed fathead minnows. The percentages that are probably most relevant to the CMC are 4, 79, 79, 73, 91, 90, and 93.

Zinc

The CMC and CCC are close together and only range from 59 to 210 ug/L. The percentages that are probably most relevant are 31, 77, 77, 99, 94, 100, 103, and 96.

**Recommended Values (%)^A and Ranges of Measured Percent Dissolved
Considered Most Relevant in Fresh Water**

<u>Metal</u>	<u>CMC</u>		<u>CCC</u>	
	<u>Recommended Value (%)</u>	<u>(Range %)</u>	<u>Recommended Value (%)</u>	<u>(Range %)</u>
Arsenic(III)	95	100-104 ^B	95	100-104 ^B
Cadmium	85	75-92	85	75-92
Chromium(III)	85	50-75	85	61-86
Chromium(VI)	95	100 ^B	95	100 ^B
Copper	85	52-95	85	52-95
Lead	50	9-95	25	9-10
Mercury	35	73 ^B	NA ^E	NA ^E
Nickel	85	88-100	85	76
Selenium	NA ^E	NA ^C	NA ^E	NA ^C
Silver	85	41-93	YY ^D	YY ^D
Zinc	85	31-103	85	31-103

^A The recommended values are based on current knowledge and are subject to change as more data becomes available.

^B All available data are for concentrations that are much higher than the CMC.

^C NA = No data are available.

^D YY = A CCC is not available, and therefore cannot be adjusted.

^E NA = Bioaccumulative chemical and not appropriate to adjust to percent dissolved.

Concn.^A Percent
(ug/L) Diss.^B n^C Species^D SRF^E Food Hard. Alk. pH Ref.

ARSENIC(III) (Freshwater: CCC = 190 ug/L; CMC = 360 ug/L)

600-15000	104	5	?	?	?	48	41	7.6	Lima et al. 1984
12600	100	3	FM	F	No	44	43	7.4	Spehar and Fiandt 1986

CADMIUM (Freshwater: CCC = 0.66 to 2.0 ug/L; CMC = 1.8 to 8.6 ug/L)^F

0.16	41	?	DM	R	Yes	53	46	7.6	Chapman 1993
0.28	75	?	DM	R	Yes	103	83	7.9	Chapman 1993
0.4-4.0	92 ^O	?	CS	F	No	21	19	7.1	Finlayson and Verrue 1982
13	89	3	FM	F	No	44	43	7.4	Spehar and Fiandt 1986
15-21	96	8	FM	S	No	42	31	7.5	Spehar and Carlson 1984
42	84	4	FM	S	No	45	41	7.4	Spehar and Carlson 1984
10	78	?	DM	S	No	51	38	7.5	Chapman 1993
35	77	?	DM	S	No	105	88	8.0	Chapman 1993
51	59	?	DM	S	No	209	167	8.4	Chapman 1993
6-80	80	8	?	S	No	47	44	7.5	CaIl et al. 1982
3-232	90 ^M	5	?	F	?	46	42	7.4	Spehar et al. 1978
450-6400	70	5	FM	F	No	202	157	7.7	Pickering and Gast 1972

CHROMIUM(III) (Freshwater: CCC = 120 to 370 ug/L; CMC = 980 to 3100 ug/L)^F

5-13	94	?	SG	F	?	25	24	7.3	Stevens and Chapman 1984
19-495	86	?	SG	F	?	25	24	7.2	Stevens and Chapman 1984
>1100	50-75	?	SG	F	No	25	24	7.0	Stevens and Chapman 1984
42	54	?	DM	R	Yes	206	166	8.2	Chapman 1993
114	61	?	DM	R	Yes	52	45	7.4	Chapman 1993
16840	26	?	DM	S	No	<51	9	6.3 ¹	Chapman 1993
26267	32	?	DM	S	No	110	9	6.7	Chapman 1993
27416	27	?	DM	S	No	96	10	6.0 ¹	Chapman 1993
58665	23	?	DM	S	No	190	25	6.2 ¹	Chapman 1993

CHROMIUM(VI) (Freshwater: CCC = 11 ug/L; CMC = 16 ug/L)

>25,000	100	1	FM,GF	F	Yes	220	214	7.6	Adelman and Smith 1976
43,300	99.5	4	FM	F	No	44	43	7.4	Spehar and Fiandt 1986

COPPER (Freshwater: CCC = 6.5 to 21 ug/L; CMC = 9.2 to 34 ug/L)^F

10-30	74	?	CT	F	No	27	20	7.0	Chakoumakos et al. 1979
40-200	78	?	CT	F	No	154	20	6.8	Chakoumakos et al. 1979
30-100	79	?	CT	F	No	74	23	7.6	Chakoumakos et al. 1979
100-200	82	?	CT	F	No	192	72	7.0	Chakoumakos et al. 1979
20-200	86	?	CT	F	No	31	78	8.3	Chakoumakos et al. 1979
40-300	87	?	LF	F	No	83	70	7.4	Chakoumakos et al. 1979
10-80	89	?	CT	F	No	25	169	8.5	Chakoumakos et al. 1979

300-1300	92	?	CT	F	No	195	160	7.0	Chakoumakos et al. 1979
100-400	94	?	CT	F	No	70	174	8.5	Chakoumakos et al. 1979
3-4'	125-167	2	CD	R	Yes	31	38	7.2	Carlson et al. 1986a,b
12-91'	79-84	3	CD	R	Yes	31	38	7.2	Carlson et al. 1986a,b
18-19	95	2	DA	S	No	52	55	7.7	Carlson et al. 1986b
20'	95	1	DA	R	No	31	38	7.2	Carlson et al. 1986b
50	96	2	FM	S	No	52	55	7.7	Carlson et al. 1986b
175'	91	2	FM	R	No	31	38	7.2	Carlson et al. 1986b
5-52	>82 ^k	?	FM	F	Yes ^L	47	43	8.0	Lind et al. 1978
6-80	83 ^o	?	CS	F	No	21	19	7.1	Finlayson and Verrue 1982
6.7	57	?	DM	S	No	49	37	7.7	Chapman 1993
35	43	?	DM	S	Yes	48	39	7.4	Chapman 1993
13	73	?	DM	R	Yes	211	169	8.1	Chapman 1993
16	57	?	DM	R	Yes	51	44	7.6	Chapman 1993
51	39	?	DM	R	Yes	104	83	7.8	Chapman 1993
32	53	?	DM	S	No	52	45	7.6	Chapman 1993
33	52	?	DM	S	No	105	79	7.9	Chapman 1993
39	64	?	DM	S	No	106	82	8.1	Chapman 1993
25-84	96	14	FM,GM	S	No	50	40	7.0	Hammermeister et al. 1983
17	91	6	DM	S	No	52	43	7.3	Hammermeister et al. 1983
120	88	14	SG	S	No	48	47	7.3	Hammermeister et al. 1983
15-90	74	19	?	S	No	48	47	7.7	Call et al. 1982
12-162	80 ^H	?	BG	F	Yes ^L	45	43	7-8	Benoit 1975
28-58	85	6	DM	R	No	168	117	8.0	Lazorchak 1987
26-59	79	7	DM	R	Yes ^M	168	117	8.0	Lazorchak 1987
56,101	86	2	DM	R	Yes ^N	168	117	8.0	Lazorchak 1987

96	86	4	FM	F	No	44	43	7.4	Spehar and Fiandt 1986
160	94	1	FM	S	No	203	171	8.2	Geckler et al. 1976
230-3000	>69->79	?	CR	F	No	17	13	7.6	Rice and Harrison 1983
LEAD (Freshwater: CCC = 1.3 to 7.7 ug/L; CMC = 34 to 200 ug/L)^F									
17	9	?	DM	R	Yes	52	47	7.6	Chapman 1993
181	18	?	DM	R	Yes	102	86	7.8	Chapman 1993
193	25	?	DM	R	Yes	151	126	8.1	Chapman 1993
612	29	?	DM	S	No	50	--	---	Chapman 1993
952	33	?	DM	S	No	100	--	---	Chapman 1993
1907	-38	?	DM	S	No	150	--	---	Chapman 1993
7-29	10	?	EZ	R	No	22	--	---	JRB Associates 1983
34	62M	?	BT	F	Yes	44	43	7.2	Holcombe et al. 1976
58	68M	?	BT	F	Yes	44	43	7.2	Holcombe et al. 1976
119	71M	?	BT	F	Yes	44	43	7.2	Holcombe et al. 1976
235	75M	?	BT	F	Yes	44	43	7.2	Holcombe et al. 1976
474	81M	?	BT	F	Yes	44	43	7.2	Holcombe et al. 1976
4100	82M	?	BT	F	No	44	43	7.2	Holcombe et al. 1976
2100	79	7	FM	F	No	44	43	7.4	Spehar and Fiandt 1986
220-2700	96	14	FM,GM,DM	S	No	49	44	7.2	Hammermeister et al. 1983
580	95	14	SG	S	No	51	48	7.2	Hammermeister et al. 1983
MERCURY(II) (Freshwater: CMC = 2.4 ug/L)									
172	73	1	FM	F	No	44	43	7.4	Spehar and Fiandt 1986

NICKEL (Freshwater: CCC = 88 to 280 ug/L; CMC = 790 to 2500 ug/L)^F

21	81	?	DM	R	Yes	51	49	7.4	Chapman 1993
150	76	?	DM	R	Yes	107	87	7.8	Chapman 1993
578	87	?	DM	R	Yes	205	161	8.1	Chapman 1993
645	88	?	DM	S	No	54	43	7.7	Chapman 1993
1809	93	?	DM	S	No	51	44	7.7	Chapman 1993
1940	92	?	DM	S	No	104	84	8.2	Chapman 1993
2344	100	?	DM	S	No	100	84	7.9	Chapman 1993
4000	90	?	PK	R	No	21	--	---	JRB Associates 1983

SELENIUM (FRESHWATER: CCC = 5 ug/L; CMC = 20 ug/L)

No data are available.

SILVER (Freshwater: CMC = 1.2 to 13 ug/L; a CCC is not available)

0.19	74	?	DM	S	No	47	37	7.6	Chapman 1993
9.98	13	?	DM	S	Yes	47	37	7.5	Chapman 1993
4.0	41	?	DM	S	No	36	25	7.0	Nebeker et al. 1983
4.0	11	?	DM	S	Yes	36	25	7.0	Nebeker et al. 1983
3	79	?	FM	S	No	51	49	8.1	UWS 1993
2-54	79	?	FM	S	Yes ^o	49	49	7.9	UWS 1993
2-32	73	?	FM	S	No	50	49	8.1	UWS 1993
4-32	91	?	FM	S	No	48	49	8.1	UWS 1993
5-89	90	?	FM	S	No	120	49	8.2	UWS 1993
6-401	93	?	FM	S	No	249	49	8.1	UWS 1993

ZINC (Freshwater: CCC = 59 to 190 ug/L; CMC 65 to 210 ug/L)^f

52	31	?	DM	R	Yes	211	169	8.2	Chapman 1993
62	77	?	DM	R	Yes	104	83	7.8	Chapman 1993
191	77	?	DM	R	Yes	52	47	7.5	Chapman 1993
356	74	?	DM	S	No	54	47	7.6	Chapman 1993
551	78	?	DM	S	No	105	85	8.1	Chapman 1993
741	76	?	DM	S	No	196	153	8.2	Chapman 1993
7 ^j	71-129	2	CD	R	Yes	31	38	7.2	Carlson et al. 1986b
18-273 ^j	81-107	2	CD	R	Yes	31	38	7.2	Carlson et al. 1986b
167 ^j	99	2	CD	R	No	31	38	7.2	Carlson et al. 1986b
180	94	1	CD	S	No	52	55	7.7	Carlson et al. 1986b
188-393 ^j	100	2	FM	R	No	31	38	7.2	Carlson et al. 1986b
551	100	1	FM	S	No	52	55	7.7	Carlson et al. 1986b
40-500	95 ^o	?	CS	F	No	21	19	7.1	Finlayson and Verrue 1982
1940	100	?	AS	F	No	20	12	7.1	Sprague 1964
5520	83	?	AS	F	No	20	12	7.9	Sprague 1964
<4000	90	?	FM	F	No	204	162	7.7	Mount 1966
>4000	70	?	FM	F	No	204	162	7.7	Mount 1966
160-400	103	13	FM,GM,DM	S	No	52	43	7.5	Hammermeister et al. 1983
240	96	13	SG	S	No	49	46	7.2	Hammermeister et al. 1983

^a Total recoverable concentration.

^b Except as noted, a 0.45- μ m membrane filter was used.

C Number of paired comparisons.

D The abbreviations used are:

AS = Atlantic salmon
BT = Brook trout
CD = Ceriodaphnia dubia
CR = Crayfish
CS = Chinook salmon
CT = Cutthroat trout
DA = Daphnids

DM = Daphnia magna
EZ = Ellossoma zonatum
FM = Fathead minnow
GF = Goldfish
GM = Gammarid
PK = Palaemonetes kadiakensis
SG = Salmo gairdneri

E The abbreviations used are:

S = static
R = renewal
F = flow-through

F The two numbers are for hardnesses of 50 and 200 mg/L, respectively.

G A 0.3- μ m glass fiber filter was used.

H A 0.10- μ m membrane filter was used.

I The pH was below 6.5.

J The dilution water was a clean river water with TSS and TOC below 5 mg/L.

K Only limited information is available concerning this value.

L It is assumed that the solution that was filtered was from the test chambers that contained fish and food.

M The food was algae.

N The food was yeast-trout chow-alfalfa.

O The food was frozen adult brine shrimp.

References

- Adelman, I.R., and L.L. Smith, Jr. 1976. Standard Test Fish Development. Part I. Fathead Minnows (*Pimephales promelas*) and Goldfish (*Carassius auratus*) as Standard Fish in Bioassays and Their Reaction to Potential Reference Toxicants. EPA-600/3-76-061a. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA. Page 24.
- Benoit, D.A. 1975. Chronic Effects of Copper on Survival, Growth, and Reproduction of the Bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*). Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 104:353-358.
- Brungs, W.A., T.S. Holderman, and M.T. Southerland. 1992. Synopsis of Water-Effect Ratios for Heavy Metals as Derived for Site-Specific Water Quality Criteria.
- Call, D.J., L.T. Brooke, and D.D. Vaishnav. 1982. Aquatic Pollutant Hazard Assessments and Development of a Hazard Prediction Technology by Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationships. Fourth Quarterly Report. University of Wisconsin-Superior, Superior, WI.
- Carlson, A.R., H. Nelson, and D. Hammermeister. 1986a. Development and Validation of Site-Specific Water Quality Criteria for Copper. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 5:997-1012.
- Carlson, A.R., H. Nelson, and D. Hammermeister. 1986b. Evaluation of Site-Specific Criteria for Copper and Zinc: An Integration of Metal Addition Toxicity, Effluent and Receiving Water Toxicity, and Ecological Survey Data. EPA/600/S3-86-026. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA.
- Carroll, J.J., S.J. Ellis, and W.S. Oliver. 1979. Influences of Hardness Constituents on the Acute Toxicity of Cadmium to Brook Trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*).
- Chakoumakos, C., R.C. Russo, and R.V. Thurston. 1979. Toxicity of Copper to Cutthroat Trout (*Salmo clarki*) under Different Conditions of Alkalinity, pH, and Hardness. Environ. Sci. Technol. 13:213-219.
- Chapman, G.A. 1993. Memorandum to C. Stephan. June 4.
- Davies, P.H., J.P. Goettl, Jr., J.R. Sinley, and N.F. Smith. 1976. Acute and Chronic Toxicity of Lead to Rainbow Trout *Salmo gairdneri*, in Hard and Soft Water. Water Res. 10:199-206.
- Finlayson, B.J., and K.M. Verrue. 1982. Toxicities of Copper, Zinc, and Cadmium Mixtures to Juvenile Chinook Salmon. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 111:645-650.

- Geckler, J.R., W.B. Horning, T.M. Neiheisel, Q.H. Pickering, E.L. Robinson, and C.E. Stephan. 1976. Validity of Laboratory Tests for Predicting Copper Toxicity in Streams. EPA-600/3-76-116. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA. Page 118.
- Grunwald, D. 1992. Metal Toxicity Evaluation: Review, Results, and Data Base Documentation.
- Hammermeister, D., C. Northcott, L. Brooke, and D. Call. 1983. Comparison of Copper, Lead and Zinc Toxicity to Four Animal Species in Laboratory and ST. Louis River Water. University of Wisconsin-Superior, Superior, WI.
- Hansen, D.J. 1993. Memorandum to C.E. Stephan. April 15.
- Holcombe, G.W., D.A. Benoit, E.N. Leonard, and J.M. McKim. 1976. Long-Term Effects of Lead Exposure on Three Generations of Brook Trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*). J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada 33:1731-1741.
- Holcombe, G.W., and R.W. Andrew. 1978. The Acute Toxicity of Zinc to Rainbow and Brook Trout. EPA-600/3-78-094. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA.
- Horowitz, A.J., K.A. Elrick, and M.R. Colberg. 1992. The Effect of Membrane Filtration Artifacts on Dissolved Trace Element Concentrations. Water Res. 26:753-763.
- Howarth, R.S., and J.B. Sprague. 1978. Copper Lethality to Rainbow Trout in Waters on Various Hardness and pH. Water Res. 12:455-462.
- JRB Associates. 1983. Demonstration of the Site-specific Criteria Modification Process: Selser's Creek, Ponchatoula, Louisiana.
- Lazorchak, J.M. 1987. The Significance of Weight Loss of Daphnia magna Straus During Acute Toxicity Tests with Copper. Ph.D. Thesis.
- Lima, A.R., C. Curtis, D.E. Hammermeister, T.P. Markee, C.E. Northcott, L.T. Brooke. 1984. Acute and Chronic Toxicities of Arsenic(III) to Fathead Minnows, Flagfish, Daphnids, and an Amphipod. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 13:595-601.
- Lind, D., K. Alto, and S. Chatterton. 1978. Regional Copper-Nickel Study. Draft.
- Mount, D.I. 1966. The Effect of Total Hardness and pH on Acute Toxicity of Zinc to Fish. Air Water Pollut. Int. J. 10:49-56.

- Nebeker, A.V., C.K. McAuliffe, R. Mshar, and D.G. Stevens. 1983. Toxicity of Silver to Steelhead and Rainbow Trout, Fathead Minnows, and *Daphnia magna*. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 2:95-104.
- Pickering, Q.P., and M.H. Gast. 1972. Acute and Chronic Toxicity of Cadmium to the Fathead Minnow (*Pimephales promelas*). J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada 29:1099-1106.
- Rice, D.W., Jr., and F.L. Harrison. 1983. The Sensitivity of Adult, Embryonic, and Larval Crayfish *Procambarus clarkii* to Copper. NUREG/CR-3133 or UCRL-53048. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA.
- Schuytema, G.S., P.O. Nelson, K.W. Malueg, A.V. Nebeker, D.F. Krawczyk, A.K. Ratcliff, and J.H. Gakstatter. 1984. Toxicity of Cadmium in Water and Sediment Slurries to *Daphnia magna*. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 3:293-308.
- Spehar, R.L., R.L. Anderson, and J.T. Fiandt. 1978. Toxicity and Bioaccumulation of Cadmium and Lead in Aquatic Invertebrates. Environ. Pollut. 15:195-208.
- Spehar, R.L., and A.R. Carlson. 1984. Derivation of Site-Specific Water Quality Criteria for Cadmium and the St. Louis River Basin, Duluth, Minnesota. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 3:651-665.
- Spehar, R.L., and J.T. Fiandt. 1986. Acute and Chronic Effects of Water Quality Criteria-Based Metal Mixtures on Three Aquatic Species. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 5:917-931.
- Sprague, J.B. 1964. Lethal Concentration of Copper and Zinc for Young Atlantic Salmon. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada 21:17-9926.
- Stevens, D.G., and G.A. Chapman. 1984. Toxicity of Trivalent Chromium to Early Life Stages of Steelhead Trout. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 3:125-133.
- University of Wisconsin-Superior. 1993. Preliminary data from work assignment 1-10 for Contract No. 68-C1-0034.