

Page 1

1 OFFICIAL REPORT OF THE
 2 DEQ PUBLIC COMMENTS HEARING ON
 3 RULE DOCKET NO. 58-0102-1201
 4
 5
 6
 7 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
 8 October 27, 2015, 3:00 p.m.
 9
 10 BEFORE HEARING FACILITATOR
 11 Paula Wilson
 12
 13 HELD AT
 14 Idaho Department of Environmental Quality
 15 1410 North Hilton Street
 16 Boise, Idaho
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23 REPORTED BY
 24 Rachelle Cahoon
 25 SRT NO. 1026

Page 3

1 proposed rule docket. Since these proceedings are
 2 being recorded, I ask that those who make oral
 3 presentations state their name and provide the
 4 spelling if necessary.
 5 And I would like the people that make
 6 comments to come up and sit in the chair next to
 7 Don so the court reporter can visually see you
 8 while you speak. And the regions are going to do
 9 the same.
 10 So we will go ahead and turn this over to
 11 Don Essig for DEQ's statement.
 12 MR. DON ESSIG: Ms. Hearing Facilitator, ladies and
 13 gentlemen, my name is Don Essig. I am the water
 14 quality standards lead for the Idaho Department of
 15 Environmental Quality.
 16 The purpose of this hearing is to gather
 17 public comments on Proposed Rule Docket No.
 18 58-0102-1201.
 19 On May 10, 2012, the United States
 20 Environmental Protection Agency disapproved the
 21 July 7, 2006, Idaho DEQ water quality standards
 22 rule submittal. The disapproval affects 167 of
 23 Idaho's revised human health criteria for 88 toxic
 24 pollutants. In addition to incorporating newer
 25 toxicity information, DEQ's 2006 rule changed the

Page 2

1 BOISE, IDAHO
 2 October 27, 2015, 3:00 p.m.
 3
 4 THE HEARING FACILITATOR: Okay. Thank you for coming,
 5 everybody. Let the record show that I am Paula
 6 Wilson, the hearing facilitator for this
 7 proceeding. It is 3:03 p.m. on the 27th day
 8 October, 2015. This is the time and place set to
 9 receive oral comments on Rule Docket No.
 10 58-0102-1201. Written comments will also be
 11 accepted at this hearing. We are in the DEQ state
 12 office conference center in Boise, Idaho, with
 13 video connections to DEQ's Coeur d'Alene,
 14 Lewiston, and Pocatello regional offices.
 15 Notice of this hearing appeared in the Idaho
 16 Administrative Bulletin, as required by Idaho Code
 17 § 67-5221, on October 7, 2015. This publication
 18 was timely made and all necessary notice
 19 requirements have been met.
 20 All those interested persons attending this
 21 proceeding are asked to sign in on the roster
 22 provided at each hearing location, indicating a
 23 desire, if any, to make an oral presentation.
 24 After a brief statement made by DEQ, each person
 25 will be given an opportunity to comment on the

Page 4

1 fish consumption basis for determining the toxic
 2 standard from 6.5 g/day to 17.5 g/day, based on
 3 EPA's nationally recommended fish consumption
 4 rate. EPA disapproved the proposed criteria
 5 because EPA believes the resulting criteria do not
 6 protect Idaho's designated uses.
 7 As a result, EPA was unable to determine
 8 that the 17.5 g/day fish consumption rate was
 9 consistent with 40 CFR 131.11(a). EPA identified
 10 several sources of information on local and
 11 regional fish consumption which they claim that
 12 Idaho did not consider before using the national
 13 default fish consumption rate. According to EPA,
 14 the information that EPA reviewed suggests that
 15 fish consumption among some Idaho population
 16 groups is greater than 17.5 g/day.
 17 Over the span from October 2012 to August
 18 2015, DEQ met with interested parties in 18
 19 negotiated meetings. DEQ planned a statewide
 20 Idaho fish consumption survey, then executed a
 21 yearlong survey, and, while the survey was under
 22 way, discussed the various policy decisions
 23 involved in derivation of criteria protective of
 24 human health.
 25 At the same time as Idaho's fish consumption

1 survey was being conducted, the Nez Perce Tribe
 2 and Shoshone-Bannock Tribes were conducting
 3 similar surveys to inform DEQ's knowledge of the
 4 potential magnitude of exposure to toxic
 5 substances through consumption of fish with the
 6 help of EPA and the intent that this information
 7 would also inform DEQ's revision of human health
 8 criteria.

9 In May 2014 EPA proposed updates to its
 10 national 304(a) criteria, recommendations to
 11 states and tribes, for protection of human health.
 12 These updates were based on a new national fish
 13 consumption rate of 22 g/day as well as new
 14 information on body-weight, drinking water intake,
 15 chemical-specific toxicity, bioaccumulation of
 16 toxins in fish tissue, and the relative magnitude
 17 of contribution to exposure to toxins from various
 18 sources other than fish and water. EPA's proposal
 19 was finalized on June 29, 2015, providing new or
 20 updated criteria for 94 chemicals, some not
 21 currently present in Idaho's rules.

22 EPA's national action expanded what DEQ
 23 considered in its rulemaking. In addition to
 24 recent information on fish consumption in Idaho,
 25 these criteria changes also incorporate new

1 information on body-weight, drinking water intake,
 2 toxicity, bioaccumulation, and relative source
 3 contribution. DEQ is also updating more criteria
 4 than just those that EPA acted on in 2012.

5 The current rule proposal is to update
 6 Idaho's human health criteria for 104 toxic
 7 substances, ten of which are new. For each
 8 substance, there are two criteria values: one
 9 based on exposure due to eating fish applied to
 10 waters designated for recreational use; and
 11 another for eating fish and drinking water from
 12 the same water applied to waters that are
 13 designated for domestic water supply. This makes
 14 for 208 revised or new criteria.

15 In addition, there is a proposed
 16 fish-plus-water criterion for copper based on the
 17 drinking water maximum contaminant level (MCL).

18 Although new input values were used, the
 19 values for the antimony-fish-only criterion and
 20 the bromoform-fish-plus-water criterion did not
 21 change in value. These are counted as revised
 22 criteria because of the new inputs.

23 With this proposal, Idaho will have updated
 24 all of its human health criteria except for
 25 arsenic, methylmercury, and asbestos.

1 After consideration of public comments, DEQ
 2 intends to present the final proposal to the Board
 3 of Environmental Quality on December 10, 2015, for
 4 adoption of a pending rule. The pending rule is
 5 expected to become final and effective upon
 6 adjournment of the 2016 legislative session if
 7 adopted by the Board and approved by the
 8 Legislature.

9 THE HEARING FACILITATOR: Thank you, Don.

10 Okay. Now we will go on with the oral
 11 presentations and we'll start with checking in
 12 with the Coeur D'Alene office.

13 June, do you have anybody?

14 MS. JUNE BERGQUIST (COEUR D'ALENE): (Shook head.)

15 THE HEARING FACILITATOR: Okay. Well, I'll move on to
 16 the Lewiston office.

17 MS. CYNTHIA BARRETT (LEWISTON): No, nobody here
 18 either.

19 THE HEARING FACILITATOR: Okay. And Pocatello?

20 MS. LYNN VAN EVERY (POCATELLO): Nobody here, Paula.

21 THE HEARING FACILITATOR: Okay. So here in the state
 22 office, I have some people signed up.

23 Heather Ray, do you want to go ahead and
 24 come on over?

25 MS. HEATHER RAY: Sure.

1 Heather Ray. I am the executive director
 2 for the Upper Snake River Tribes Foundation. And
 3 I here have 75 petition letters addressed to Paula
 4 Wilson. And I'll just read the last paragraph of
 5 these letters today just to inform who's here what
 6 they stand for.

7 "The proposed water quality standards are
 8 not sufficient to protect tribal people residing
 9 in the Columbia River Basin that consume a healthy
 10 amount of fish to which we are legally and
 11 culturally entitled. IDEQ must reconsider the
 12 draft rule and modify its fish consumption rate
 13 and cancer protections to better protect Idaho
 14 resources and all state citizens. Please protect
 15 everyone and don't put tribal communities at
 16 risk."

17 THE HEARING FACILITATOR: Thank you.

18 Okay. Charlotte Roderique.

19 MS. CHARLOTTE RODERIQUE: Yes.

20 Good afternoon. My name is Charlotte
 21 Rodrique. I'm the chairperson for the Burns
 22 Paiute Tribe, and I'm also the chair of the Upper
 23 Snake River Tribes Foundation. And the testimony
 24 I'm providing is on behalf of both the Burns
 25 Paiute Tribe and the Upper Snake River Tribes.

1 As a member of an Oregon tribe, I come to
 2 you today from a state with progressive water
 3 quality criteria and fish consumption rate. While
 4 I believe that Oregon's water quality criteria and
 5 fish consumption rate are far from ideal and
 6 protective, it is a good first step in restoring
 7 waters that have been severely impacted by
 8 anthropogenic actions.

9 The importance of clean water and aquatic
 10 species to the tribes is immeasurable. There is
 11 an inextricable connection between the tribes and
 12 nature's resources which provides tribal members
 13 with both sustenance and spiritual fulfillment.
 14 The Burns Paiute Tribe as well as the other member
 15 tribes of USRT have and continue to work
 16 tirelessly to restore natural resources on and off
 17 our respective reservations.

18 However, due to no fault of the tribes,
 19 nature's resources have been plundered and
 20 impaired by the interests only concerned with
 21 incurring year-over-year profits. The effect to
 22 tribes has led to a decline or loss of cultural
 23 practices and diminished health and welfare.

24 Unfortunately, regulatory agencies, such as
 25 the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality,

1 either sit by idly or, worse, work in cooperation
 2 with business and industry to develop rules that
 3 only benefit the bottom line at the expense of the
 4 environment. A fine example is Idaho Department
 5 of Environmental Quality's sudden about-face on
 6 not allowing any water quality criteria to become
 7 less protective moving forward. Business and
 8 industry complained that it would be too expensive
 9 without providing any proof that would be the
 10 case, and, true to form, Idaho Department of
 11 Environmental Quality is now proposing that some
 12 water quality standards criteria will in fact be
 13 less protective.

14 When the Environmental Protection Agency
 15 disapproved in 2012 the proposed water quality
 16 criteria and fish consumption rate brought forth
 17 by the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality,
 18 the tribes were hopeful that Idaho would seriously
 19 reevaluate their disapproved standards, look to
 20 their next door neighbor Oregon, and propose
 21 standards equal to or more stringent than theirs.
 22 The tribes were also encouraged that the EPA
 23 offered financial and technical assistance to the
 24 tribes to undertake a fish consumption survey
 25 within Indian Country.

1 While fish consumption amongst the tribes is
 2 significantly less than historic levels, we knew
 3 that consumption was still high among many tribal
 4 members and that there is a great desire to
 5 increase consumption. It was our belief that
 6 sharing the tribal survey data and results to
 7 IDEQ, which showed high contemporary fish
 8 consumption among tribal members, would lead to a
 9 much more protective fish consumption rate than
 10 the 17.5 g/day that had been previously proposed.
 11 Sadly, we were mistaken and consequently feel
 12 betrayed by IDEQ's actions.

13 USRT has been an active participant in the
 14 fish consumption rate/water quality standard
 15 criteria rulemaking process, providing both oral
 16 and written comments on numerous occasions over
 17 the last few years. Those comments have been
 18 ignored. Conversely, business, industry, and
 19 water users' comments have been incorporated into
 20 the draft rule almost without exception.

21 You have also largely ignored the comments
 22 from EPA. Might I remind you that sweeping aside
 23 comments from the very agency that will ultimately
 24 approve or disapprove your fish consumption rate
 25 and water quality criteria is a serious tactical

1 miscalculation.

2 We have been clear and consistent that IDEQ
 3 must include anadromous and market fish in their
 4 fish consumption rate calculation. While EPA
 5 guidance provides flexibility on these matters, of
 6 which Burns Paiute Tribe and USRT disagrees, the
 7 EPA has encouraged IDEQ to include anadromous and
 8 market fish in their calculation, as has Oregon,
 9 and is currently being proposed in Washington.
 10 Not only would it be regionally consistent, but it
 11 would protect a majority of high-end fish
 12 consumers.

13 Rather than taking the protective path, IDEQ
 14 stripped anadromous species, except steelhead, and
 15 market fish from their fish consumption rate.

16 More egregious, IDEQ took tribal data
 17 without the knowledge or approval of the EPA and
 18 the tribes and removed anadromous species,
 19 excepting steelhead, and market fish from the
 20 tribal fish consumption rate.

21 In unequivocal terms, IDEQ must integrate
 22 unaltered tribal fish consumption survey results
 23 into the state's fish consumption rate.

24 IDEQ has rationalized that anadromous and
 25 market fish are not appropriate variables in the

1 state's fish consumption rate calculation as they
 2 fall outside of Idaho's regulatory purview.
 3 Clearly, there is a lack of understanding of the
 4 Clean Water Act by IDEQ. It also is apparent that
 5 IDEQ has failed to acknowledge the very real fact
 6 that anadromous species accumulate a portion of
 7 their toxic body burden in waters under the
 8 jurisdiction of the Clean Water Act. While
 9 individual states do propose and implement their
 10 own water quality criteria, they must evaluate
 11 them at a national level and how they impact
 12 downstream states and waters of the U.S.

13 Throughout this process, IDEQ has acted as
 14 if the state lives in a vacuum where none of its
 15 actions will have an effect anywhere beyond
 16 Idaho's borders. This perspective is not only
 17 misguided, it is wrong. Idaho's actions do and
 18 will spill outside of your borders and are subject
 19 to Clean Water Act provisions that are applied at
 20 a national level, not state-specific.

21 USRT has admonished IDEQ to consider
 22 suppressed fish consumption when devising a
 23 revised fish consumption rate. In fact, two
 24 tribal members, one from USRT, presented on this
 25 issue at a rulemaking meeting in 2014. The

1 response to the tribes' request has been a
 2 wholesale disregard of the issue. Suppressed
 3 consumption, due in part to inadequate water
 4 quality criteria, is a very real issue that
 5 demands consideration. The underlying goal of the
 6 Clean Water Act is the restoration of U.S. waters.
 7 Acknowledging and factoring suppression into
 8 Idaho's fish consumption rate would facilitate a
 9 pathway to restoring water quality in Idaho and
 10 beyond.

11 All of the time and effort the tribes put
 12 into encouraging suppression to be factored in
 13 Idaho's fish consumption rate was ultimately met
 14 with an 11-sentence response inaccurately
 15 discounting its impact on improving water quality
 16 or fish availability.

17 Buried in the lead, though, is the most
 18 telling reason why IDEQ has bullishly ignored
 19 suppression: it would be unfair to dischargers.

20 Is it any wonder that tribes and other
 21 citizens of Idaho passionate about the environment
 22 would be suspect of IDEQ's motives?

23 I would be remiss if I did not chastise IDEQ
 24 for proposing to protect the general population at
 25 the 95th percentile but the tribal population at

1 the mean percentile. For one, the tribal
 2 population is part of the general population, a
 3 point we've made repeatedly. Secondly, do you
 4 really consider tribal members to be inferior and
 5 not worthy of the protections afforded the rest of
 6 the population?

7 Let me conclude by saying that the member
 8 tribes of USRT are not only disappointed with
 9 IDEQ's decision to use a mean fish consumption
 10 rate value of 16.1 g/day to derive water quality
 11 criteria in Idaho, but fear the health and
 12 cultural ramifications that will follow should
 13 this sorely inappropriate misguided standard be
 14 carried forward to the Board of Environmental
 15 Quality and Idaho Legislature.

16 While our pleas have fallen on deaf ears to
 17 this point, I will ask you again to do the right
 18 thing for tribal members, all other citizens of
 19 Idaho, citizens in downstream states, and the
 20 health of the environment in Idaho and withdraw
 21 the current proposal and devise a revised fish
 22 consumption rate and suite of water quality
 23 criteria that is protective of all citizens in
 24 Idaho.

25 I appreciate you giving me the time today to

1 share the perspective of the Burns Paiute Tribe
 2 and the three other USRT member tribes.

3 A more comprehensive set of comments will be
 4 submitted by USRT prior to the November 6th
 5 deadline. Thank you.

6 THE HEARING FACILITATOR: Thank you.

7 And Jim Wertz from EPA signed up to give
 8 comments.

9 MR. JIM WERTZ: Good afternoon. My name is Jim
 10 Wertz. I'm the director of the EPA Idaho
 11 Operation Office here in Boise and providing
 12 comments on behalf of EPA today.

13 First, I'd like to say that EPA appreciates
 14 the opportunity to provide comments to DEQ on its
 15 proposed updated human health ambient water
 16 quality criteria.

17 The Agency supports DEQ's ongoing efforts
 18 and recognizes the challenging work undertaken so
 19 far in consideration of revisions to Idaho's human
 20 health criteria.

21 EPA is encouraged that several of DEQ's
 22 proposed scientific and policy decisions reflect
 23 recommendations consistent with EPA's 2015 human
 24 health criteria recommendations as well as EPA's
 25 2000 Human Health Methodology.

1 The Agency remains concerned about some of
2 DEQ's proposed decisions in deriving health
3 criteria.

4 EPA intends to provide detailed written
5 comments to DEQ that build on comments that we
6 have previously provided to DEQ on this matter.
7 I'd like to briefly summarize a few of the key
8 points that we will be raising in those comments.

9 EPA remains concerned with DEQ's approach to
10 calculating its fish consumption rate. Our policy
11 is that market fish should be included in the fish
12 consumption rate. DEQ's proposed fish consumption
13 rate excludes market fish other than rainbow
14 trout, which is not consistent with EPA's guidance
15 and the 2000 Human Health Methodology.

16 The Agency is unlikely to agree, without
17 dramatically strengthened rationale from Idaho,
18 that criteria based on a fish consumption rate
19 that excludes all market fish except rainbow trout
20 would be adequate to support Idaho's designated
21 uses.

22 EPA recognizes that Idaho has included
23 steelhead and anadromous fish or species in its
24 fish consumption rate. However, EPA continues to
25 have serious concerns with DEQ's proposed decision

1 to exclude all other anadromous fish. EPA
2 recommends that DEQ include all other anadromous
3 fish in the fish consumption rate.

4 EPA has concerns relating to the protection
5 of tribal reserved fishing rights. In determining
6 whether water quality standards comply with the
7 Clean Water Act and EPA's regulations, it is
8 necessary to consider other applicable laws
9 including federal treaties.

10 In Idaho certain tribes hold reserved rights
11 to take fish for subsistence purposes including
12 treaty-reserved rights to fish at all usual and
13 accustomed fishing grounds and stations and in
14 unoccupied lands of the United States. Such
15 rights appropriately must be considered when
16 determining which criteria are necessary to
17 adequately protect Idaho's waters used for the
18 consumption of fish. Therefore, in order to
19 protect the treaty-reserved right to continue
20 culturally important fishing practices, the State
21 must adopt criteria that will protect the tribal
22 population exercising the subsistence fishing use.

23 With these principles in mind, the EPA will
24 be requesting the DEQ provide a summary of how it
25 has considered treaty-reserved fishing uses,

1 including any government-to-government
2 coordination meetings that DEQ has held with
3 tribal governments. DEQ should provide additional
4 rationale for how its decision to calculate fish
5 consumption rate will adequately protect
6 treaty-reserved fishing uses.

7 So in closing, EPA remains committed to
8 supporting DEQ in working towards a final rule
9 that will be consistent with the Clean Water Act,
10 the federal water quality standards regulations,
11 and EPA guidance. Thank you, again, for this
12 opportunity to provide input in advance of your
13 comment deadline.

14 THE HEARING FACILITATOR: Thank you.

15 So it looks like we've -- nobody new has
16 shown up at the regions; is that correct?

17 MS. JUNE BERQUIST (COUER D'ALENE): Nobody here.

18 MS. JUNE BERQUIST: (Shook head.)

19 MS. CYNTHIA BARRETT (LEWISTON): That's correct here
20 too.

21 THE HEARING FACILITATOR: Okay. We're going to go
22 ahead and just go off the record and then keep
23 this open until at least 4:00 in case somebody is
24 late. So we'll go ahead and -- we're off the
25 record.

1 (Off the record.)

2 THE HEARING FACILITATOR: Back on the record. Okay.
3 It is 4:00, and the hearing is now closed.

4
5 (Hearing concluded at 4:00 p.m.)
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

2

3

4

5 I, Rachelle Cahoon, Court Reporter, a

6 Notary Public, do hereby certify:

7 That I am the reporter who took the

8 proceedings had in the above-entitled hearing in

9 machine shorthand and thereafter the same was

10 transcribed into written text under my direct

11 supervision; and

12 That the foregoing transcript contains a

13 full, true, and accurate record of the proceedings

14 had in the above and foregoing cause.

15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set

16 my hand on November 9, 2015.

17

18

19

20

Rachelle Cahoon, Court Reporter

21 SRT No. 1026

22

23

24

25

<p style="text-align: center;">A</p> <p>about-face 10:5 above-entitled 21:8 accepted 2:11 accumulate 13:6 accurate 21:13 accustomed 18:13 acknowledge 13:5 Acknowledging 14:7 Act 13:4,8,19 14:6 18:7 19:9 acted 6:4 13:13 action 5:22 actions 9:8 11:12 13:15,17 active 11:13 addition 3:24 5:23 6:15 additional 19:3 addressed 8:3 adequate 17:20 adequately 18:17 19:5 adjournment 7:6 Administrative 2:16 admonished 13:21 adopt 18:21 adopted 7:7 adoption 7:4 advance 19:12 afforded 15:5 afternoon 8:20 16:9 agencies 9:24 agency 3:20 10:14 11:23 16:17 17:1 17:16 agree 17:16 ahead 3:10 7:23 19:22,24 allowing 10:6 ambient 16:15 amount 8:10 anadromous 12:3,7 12:14,18,24 13:6 17:23 18:1,2 anthropogenic 9:8</p>	<p>antimony-fish-only 6:19 anybody 7:13 apparent 13:4 appeared 2:15 applicable 18:8 applied 6:9,12 13:19 appreciate 15:25 appreciates 16:13 approach 17:9 appropriate 12:25 appropriately 18:15 approval 12:17 approve 11:24 approved 7:7 aquatic 9:9 arsenic 6:25 asbestos 6:25 aside 11:22 asked 2:21 assistance 10:23 attending 2:20 August 4:17 availability 14:16</p> <p style="text-align: center;">B</p> <p>Back 20:2 BARRETT 7:17 19:19 based 4:2 5:12 6:9 6:16 17:18 Basin 8:9 basis 4:1 behalf 8:24 16:12 belief 11:5 believe 9:4 believes 4:5 benefit 10:3 BERGQUIST 7:14 19:18 BERQUIST 19:17 betrayed 11:12 better 8:13 beyond 13:15 14:10 bioaccumulation 5:15 6:2 Board 7:2,7 15:14</p>	<p>body 13:7 body-weight 5:14 6:1 Boise 1:16 2:1,12 16:11 borders 13:16,18 bottom 10:3 brief 2:24 briefly 17:7 bromoform-fish... 6:20 brought 10:16 build 17:5 Bulletin 2:16 bullishly 14:18 burden 13:7 Buried 14:17 Burns 8:21,24 9:14 12:6 16:1 business 10:2,7 11:18</p> <p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <p>C 21:1,1 Cahoon 1:24 21:5 21:20 calculate 19:4 calculating 17:10 calculation 12:4,8 13:1 cancer 8:13 carried 15:14 case 10:10 19:23 cause 21:14 center 2:12 certain 18:10 certify 21:6 CFR 4:9 chair 3:6 8:22 chairperson 8:21 challenging 16:18 change 6:21 changed 3:25 changes 5:25 Charlotte 8:18,19 8:20 chastise 14:23 checking 7:11 chemical-specific</p>	<p>5:15 chemicals 5:20 citizens 8:14 14:21 15:18,19,23 claim 4:11 clean 9:9 13:4,8,19 14:6 18:7 19:9 clear 12:2 Clearly 13:3 closed 20:3 closing 19:7 Code 2:16 Coeur 2:13 7:12,14 Columbia 8:9 come 3:6 7:24 9:1 coming 2:4 comment 2:25 19:13 comments 1:2 2:9 2:10 3:6,17 7:1 11:16,17,19,21,23 16:3,8,12,14 17:5 17:5,8 committed 19:7 communities 8:15 complained 10:8 comply 18:6 comprehensive 16:3 concerned 9:20 17:1,9 concerns 17:25 18:4 conclude 15:7 concluded 20:5 conducted 5:1 conducting 5:2 conference 2:12 connection 9:11 connections 2:13 consequently 11:11 consider 4:12 13:21 15:4 18:8 consideration 7:1 14:5 16:19 considered 5:23 18:15,25 consistent 4:9 12:2 12:10 16:23 17:14</p>	<p>19:9 consume 8:9 consumers 12:12 consumption 4:1,3 4:8,11,13,15,20 4:25 5:5,13,24 8:12 9:3,5 10:16 10:24 11:1,3,5,8,9 11:14,24 12:4,15 12:20,22,23 13:1 13:22,23 14:3,8 14:13 15:9,22 17:10,12,12,18,24 18:3,18 19:5 contains 21:12 contaminant 6:17 contemporary 11:7 continue 9:15 18:19 continues 17:24 contribution 5:17 6:3 Conversely 11:18 cooperation 10:1 coordination 19:2 copper 6:16 correct 19:16,19 COUER 19:17 counted 6:21 Country 10:25 court 3:7 21:5,20 criteria 3:23 4:4,5 4:23 5:8,10,20,25 6:3,6,8,14,22,24 9:3,4 10:6,12,16 11:15,25 13:10 14:4 15:11,23 16:16,20,24 17:3 17:18 18:16,21 criterion 6:16,19 6:20 cultural 9:22 15:12 culturally 8:11 18:20 current 6:5 15:21 currently 5:21 12:9 CYNTHIA 7:17 19:19</p>
---	---	--	--	--

D	discounting 14:15	evaluate 13:10	17:10,11,11,12,13	healthy 8:9
d'Alene 2:13 7:12 7:14 19:17	discussed 4:22	everybody 2:5	17:18,19,23,24	hearing 1:2,10 2:4 2:6,11,15,22 3:12 3:16 7:9,15,19,21 8:17 16:6 19:14 19:21 20:2,3,5 21:8
data 11:6 12:16	disregard 14:2	example 10:4	18:1,3,3,11,12,18	
day 2:7	docket 1:3 2:9 3:1 3:17	excepting 12:19	19:4	
deadline 16:5 19:13	domestic 6:13	exception 11:20	fish-plus-water 6:16	
deaf 15:16	Don 3:7,11,12,13 7:9	exclude 18:1	fishing 18:5,13,20 18:22,25 19:6	Heather 7:23,25 8:1
December 7:3	door 10:20	excludes 17:13,19	flexibility 12:5	held 1:13 19:2
decision 15:9 17:25 19:4	downstream 13:12 15:19	executed 4:20	follow 15:12	help 5:6
decisions 4:22 16:22 17:2	draft 8:12 11:20	executive 8:1	foregoing 21:12,14	hereunto 21:15
decline 9:22	dramatically 17:17	exercising 18:22	form 10:10	high 11:3,7
default 4:13	drinking 5:14 6:1 6:11,17	expanded 5:22	forth 10:16	high-end 12:11
demands 14:5	drinking 5:14 6:1 6:11,17	expected 7:5	forward 10:7 15:14	Hilton 1:15
Department 1:14 3:14 9:25 10:4,10 10:17	due 6:9 9:18 14:3	expense 10:3	Foundation 8:2,23	historic 11:2
	E	expensive 10:8	fulfillment 9:13	hold 18:10
		exposure 5:4,17 6:9	full 21:13	hopeful 10:18
		F		human 3:23 4:24 5:7,11 6:6,24 16:15,19,23,25 17:15
DEQ 1:2 2:11,24 3:21 4:18,19 5:22 6:3 7:1 16:14 17:5 17:6 18:2,24 19:2 19:3,8	E 21:1,1,1,1	F 21:1	G	
DEQ's 2:13 3:11,25 5:3,7 16:17,21 17:2,9,12,25	ears 15:16	facilitate 14:8	g/day 4:2,2,8,16 5:13 11:10 15:10	
derivation 4:23	eating 6:9,11	facilitator 1:10 2:4 2:6 3:12 7:9,15,19 7:21 8:17 16:6 19:14,21 20:2	gather 3:16	
derive 15:10	effect 9:21 13:15	fact 10:12 13:5,23	general 14:24 15:2	
deriving 17:2	effective 7:5	factored 14:12	gentlemen 3:13	
designated 4:6 6:10 6:13 17:20	effort 14:11	factoring 14:7	give 16:7	
desire 2:23 11:4	efforts 16:17	failed 13:5	given 2:25	
detailed 17:4	egregious 12:16	fall 13:2	giving 15:25	
determine 4:7	either 7:18 10:1	fallen 15:16	go 3:10 7:10,23 19:21,22,24	
determining 4:1 18:5,16	encouraged 10:22 12:7 16:21	far 9:5 16:19	goal 14:5	
develop 10:2	encouraging 14:12	fault 9:18	going 3:8 19:21	
devise 15:21	entitled 8:11	fear 15:11	good 8:20 9:6 16:9	
devising 13:22	environment 10:4 14:21 15:20	federal 18:9 19:10	government-to-g... 19:1	
diminished 9:23	Environmental 1:14 3:15,20 7:3 9:25 10:5,11,14 10:17 15:14	feel 11:11	governments 19:3	
direct 21:10	EPA 4:4,5,7,9,13 4:14 5:6,9 6:4 10:22 11:22 12:4 12:7,17 16:7,10 16:12,13,21 17:4 17:9,22,24 18:1,4 18:23 19:7,11	final 7:2,5 19:8	great 11:4	
director 8:1 16:10	EPA's 4:3 5:18,22 16:23,24 17:14 18:7	finalized 5:19	greater 4:16	
disagrees 12:6	equal 10:21	financial 10:23	grounds 18:13	
disappointed 15:8	Essig 3:11,12,13	fine 10:4	groups 4:16	
disapproval 3:22		first 9:6 16:13	guidance 12:5 17:14 19:11	
disapprove 11:24		fish 4:1,3,8,11,13 4:15,20,25 5:5,12 5:16,18,24 6:9,11 8:10,12 9:3,5 10:16,24 11:1,7,9 11:14,24 12:3,4,8 12:11,15,15,19,20 12:22,23,25 13:1 13:22,23 14:8,13 14:16 15:9,21	H	
disapproved 3:20 4:4 10:15,19			hand 21:16	
dischargers 14:19			head 7:14 19:18	
			health 3:23 4:24 5:7,11 6:6,24 9:23 15:11,20 16:15,20 16:24,25 17:2,15	
				ideal 9:5
				identified 4:9
				IDEQ 8:11 11:7 12:2,7,13,16,21 12:24 13:4,5,13 13:21 14:18,23
				IDEQ's 11:12 14:22 15:9
				idly 10:1
				ignored 11:18,21 14:18
				immeasurable 9:10

<p>impact 13:11 14:15</p> <p>impacted 9:7</p> <p>impaired 9:20</p> <p>implement 13:9</p> <p>importance 9:9</p> <p>important 18:20</p> <p>improving 14:15</p> <p>inaccurately 14:14</p> <p>inadequate 14:3</p> <p>inappropriate 15:13</p> <p>include 12:3,7 18:2</p> <p>included 17:11,22</p> <p>including 18:9,11 19:1</p> <p>incorporate 5:25</p> <p>incorporated 11:19</p> <p>incorporating 3:24</p> <p>increase 11:5</p> <p>incurring 9:21</p> <p>Indian 10:25</p> <p>indicating 2:22</p> <p>individual 13:9</p> <p>industry 10:2,8 11:18</p> <p>inextricable 9:11</p> <p>inferior 15:4</p> <p>inform 5:3,7 8:5</p> <p>information 3:25 4:10,14 5:6,14,24 6:1</p> <p>input 6:18 19:12</p> <p>inputs 6:22</p> <p>intake 5:14 6:1</p> <p>integrate 12:21</p> <p>intends 7:2 17:4</p> <p>intent 5:6</p> <p>interested 2:20 4:18</p> <p>interests 9:20</p> <p>involved 4:23</p> <p>issue 13:25 14:2,4</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">J</p> <hr/> <p>Jim 16:7,9,9</p> <p>July 3:21</p> <p>June 5:19 7:13,14 19:17,18</p> <p>jurisdiction 13:8</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">K</p> <hr/> <p>keep 19:22</p> <p>key 17:7</p> <p>knew 11:2</p> <p>knowledge 5:3 12:17</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">L</p> <hr/> <p>lack 13:3</p> <p>ladies 3:12</p> <p>lands 18:14</p> <p>largely 11:21</p> <p>late 19:24</p> <p>laws 18:8</p> <p>lead 3:14 11:8 14:17</p> <p>led 9:22</p> <p>legally 8:10</p> <p>legislative 7:6</p> <p>Legislature 7:8 15:15</p> <p>letters 8:3,5</p> <p>level 6:17 13:11,20</p> <p>levels 11:2</p> <p>Lewiston 2:14 7:16 7:17 19:19</p> <p>line 10:3</p> <p>lives 13:14</p> <p>local 4:10</p> <p>location 2:22</p> <p>look 10:19</p> <p>looks 19:15</p> <p>loss 9:22</p> <p>LYNN 7:20</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">M</p> <hr/> <p>machine 21:9</p> <p>magnitude 5:4,16</p> <p>majority 12:11</p> <p>market 12:3,8,15 12:19,25 17:11,13 17:19</p> <p>matter 17:6</p> <p>matters 12:5</p> <p>maximum 6:17</p> <p>MCL 6:17</p> <p>mean 15:1,9</p> <p>meeting 13:25</p> <p>meetings 4:19 19:2</p>	<p>member 9:1,14 15:7 16:2</p> <p>members 9:12 11:4 11:8 13:24 15:4 15:18</p> <p>met 2:19 4:18 14:13</p> <p>Methodology 16:25 17:15</p> <p>methylmercury 6:25</p> <p>mind 18:23</p> <p>miscalculation 12:1</p> <p>misguided 13:17 15:13</p> <p>mistaken 11:11</p> <p>modify 8:12</p> <p>motives 14:22</p> <p>move 7:15</p> <p>moving 10:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">N</p> <hr/> <p>name 3:3,13 8:20 16:9</p> <p>national 4:12 5:10 5:12,22 13:11,20</p> <p>nationally 4:3</p> <p>natural 9:16</p> <p>nature's 9:12,19</p> <p>necessary 2:18 3:4 18:8,16</p> <p>negotiated 4:19</p> <p>neighbor 10:20</p> <p>new 5:12,13,19,25 6:7,14,18,22 19:15</p> <p>newer 3:24</p> <p>Nez 5:1</p> <p>North 1:15</p> <p>Notary 21:6</p> <p>notice 2:15,18</p> <p>November 16:4 21:16</p> <p>numerous 11:16</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">O</p> <hr/> <p>O 21:1</p> <p>occasions 11:16</p> <p>October 1:8 2:2,8 2:17 4:17</p>	<p>offered 10:23</p> <p>office 2:12 7:12,16 7:22 16:11</p> <p>offices 2:14</p> <p>OFFICIAL 1:1</p> <p>Okay 2:4 7:10,15 7:19,21 8:18 19:21 20:2</p> <p>ongoing 16:17</p> <p>open 19:23</p> <p>Operation 16:11</p> <p>opportunity 2:25 16:14 19:12</p> <p>oral 2:9,23 3:2 7:10 11:15</p> <p>order 18:18</p> <p>Oregon 9:1 10:20 12:8</p> <p>Oregon's 9:4</p> <p>outside 13:2,18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">P</p> <hr/> <p>P 21:1</p> <p>p.m 1:8 2:2,7 20:5</p> <p>Paiute 8:22,25 9:14 12:6 16:1</p> <p>paragraph 8:4</p> <p>part 14:3 15:2</p> <p>participant 11:13</p> <p>parties 4:18</p> <p>passionate 14:21</p> <p>path 12:13</p> <p>pathway 14:9</p> <p>Paula 1:11 2:5 7:20 8:3</p> <p>pending 7:4,4</p> <p>people 3:5 7:22 8:8</p> <p>Perce 5:1</p> <p>percentile 14:25 15:1</p> <p>person 2:24</p> <p>persons 2:20</p> <p>perspective 13:16 16:1</p> <p>petition 8:3</p> <p>place 2:8</p> <p>planned 4:19</p> <p>pleas 15:16</p> <p>Please 8:14</p>	<p>plundered 9:19</p> <p>Pocatello 2:14 7:19 7:20</p> <p>point 15:3,17</p> <p>points 17:8</p> <p>policy 4:22 16:22 17:10</p> <p>pollutants 3:24</p> <p>population 4:15 14:24,25 15:2,2,6 18:22</p> <p>portion 13:6</p> <p>potential 5:4</p> <p>practices 9:23 18:20</p> <p>present 5:21 7:2</p> <p>presentation 2:23</p> <p>presentations 3:3 7:11</p> <p>presented 13:24</p> <p>previously 11:10 17:6</p> <p>principles 18:23</p> <p>prior 16:4</p> <p>proceeding 2:7,21</p> <p>proceedings 1:7 3:1 21:8,13</p> <p>process 11:15 13:13</p> <p>profits 9:21</p> <p>progressive 9:2</p> <p>proof 10:9</p> <p>proposal 5:18 6:5 6:23 7:2 15:21</p> <p>propose 10:20 13:9</p> <p>proposed 3:1,17 4:4 5:9 6:15 8:7 10:15 11:10 12:9 16:15,22 17:2,12 17:25</p> <p>proposing 10:11 14:24</p> <p>protect 4:6 8:8,13 8:14 12:11 14:24 18:17,19,21 19:5</p> <p>protection 3:20 5:11 10:14 18:4</p> <p>protections 8:13 15:5</p>
--	---	---	--	---

<p>protective 4:23 9:6 10:7,13 11:9 12:13 15:23</p> <p>provide 3:3 16:14 17:4 18:24 19:3 19:12</p> <p>provided 2:22 17:6</p> <p>provides 9:12 12:5</p> <p>providing 5:19 8:24 10:9 11:15 16:11</p> <p>provisions 13:19</p> <p>public 1:2 3:17 7:1 21:6</p> <p>publication 2:17</p> <p>purpose 3:16</p> <p>purposes 18:11</p> <p>purview 13:2</p> <p>put 8:15 14:11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Q</p> <p>quality 1:14 3:14 3:15,21 7:3 8:7 9:3,4,25 10:6,11 10:12,15,17 11:14 11:25 13:10 14:4 14:9,15 15:10,15 15:22 16:16 18:6 19:10</p> <p>Quality's 10:5</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">R</p> <p>R 21:1,1,1</p> <p>R' 21:1</p> <p>Rachelle 1:24 21:5 21:20</p> <p>rainbow 17:13,19</p> <p>raising 17:8</p> <p>ramifications 15:12</p> <p>rate 4:4,8,13 5:13 8:12 9:3,5 10:16 11:9,24 12:4,15 12:20,23 13:1,23 14:8,13 15:10,22 17:10,12,13,18,24 18:3 19:5</p> <p>rate/water 11:14</p> <p>rationale 17:17 19:4</p>	<p>rationalized 12:24</p> <p>Ray 7:23,25 8:1</p> <p>read 8:4</p> <p>real 13:5 14:4</p> <p>really 15:4</p> <p>reason 14:18</p> <p>receive 2:9</p> <p>recognizes 16:18 17:22</p> <p>recommendations 5:10 16:23,24</p> <p>recommended 4:3</p> <p>recommends 18:2</p> <p>reconsider 8:11</p> <p>record 2:5 19:22,25 20:1,2 21:13</p> <p>recorded 3:2</p> <p>recreational 6:10</p> <p>reevaluate 10:19</p> <p>reflect 16:22</p> <p>regional 2:14 4:11</p> <p>regionally 12:10</p> <p>regions 3:8 19:16</p> <p>regulations 18:7 19:10</p> <p>regulatory 9:24 13:2</p> <p>relating 18:4</p> <p>relative 5:16 6:2</p> <p>remains 17:1,9 19:7</p> <p>remind 11:22</p> <p>remiss 14:23</p> <p>removed 12:18</p> <p>repeatedly 15:3</p> <p>REPORT 1:1</p> <p>REPORTED 1:23</p> <p>reporter 3:7 21:5,7 21:20</p> <p>REPORTER'S 1:7</p> <p>request 14:1</p> <p>requesting 18:24</p> <p>required 2:16</p> <p>requirements 2:19</p> <p>reservations 9:17</p> <p>reserved 18:5,10</p> <p>residing 8:8</p> <p>resources 8:14 9:12 9:16,19</p>	<p>respective 9:17</p> <p>response 14:1,14</p> <p>rest 15:5</p> <p>restoration 14:6</p> <p>restore 9:16</p> <p>restoring 9:6 14:9</p> <p>result 4:7</p> <p>resulting 4:5</p> <p>results 11:6 12:22</p> <p>reviewed 4:14</p> <p>revised 3:23 6:14 6:21 13:23 15:21</p> <p>revision 5:7</p> <p>revisions 16:19</p> <p>right 15:17 18:19</p> <p>rights 18:5,10,12 18:15</p> <p>risk 8:16</p> <p>River 8:2,9,23,25</p> <p>Roderique 8:18,19</p> <p>Rodrique 8:21</p> <p>roster 2:21</p> <p>rule 1:3 2:9 3:1,17 3:22,25 6:5 7:4,4 8:12 11:20 19:8</p> <p>rulemaking 5:23 11:15 13:25</p> <p>rules 5:21 10:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <p>S 21:1</p> <p>Sadly 11:11</p> <p>saying 15:7</p> <p>scientific 16:22</p> <p>Secondly 15:3</p> <p>see 3:7</p> <p>serious 11:25 17:25</p> <p>seriously 10:18</p> <p>session 7:6</p> <p>set 2:8 16:3 21:15</p> <p>severely 9:7</p> <p>share 16:1</p> <p>sharing 11:6</p> <p>Shook 7:14 19:18</p> <p>shorthand 21:9</p> <p>Shoshone-Banno... 5:2</p> <p>show 2:5</p> <p>showed 11:7</p>	<p>shown 19:16</p> <p>sign 2:21</p> <p>signed 7:22 16:7</p> <p>significantly 11:2</p> <p>similar 5:3</p> <p>sit 3:6 10:1</p> <p>Snake 8:2,23,25</p> <p>somebody 19:23</p> <p>sorely 15:13</p> <p>source 6:2</p> <p>sources 4:10 5:18</p> <p>span 4:17</p> <p>speak 3:8</p> <p>species 9:10 12:14 12:18 13:6 17:23</p> <p>spelling 3:4</p> <p>spill 13:18</p> <p>spiritual 9:13</p> <p>SRT 1:25 21:21</p> <p>stand 8:6</p> <p>standard 4:2 11:14 15:13</p> <p>standards 3:14,21 8:7 10:12,19,21 18:6 19:10</p> <p>start 7:11</p> <p>state 2:11 3:3 7:21 8:14 9:2 13:14 18:20</p> <p>state's 12:23 13:1</p> <p>state-specific 13:20</p> <p>statement 2:24 3:11</p> <p>states 3:19 5:11 13:9,12 15:19 18:14</p> <p>statewide 4:19</p> <p>stations 18:13</p> <p>steelhead 12:14,19 17:23</p> <p>step 9:6</p> <p>Street 1:15</p> <p>strengthened 17:17</p> <p>stringent 10:21</p> <p>stripped 12:14</p> <p>subject 13:18</p> <p>submittal 3:22</p> <p>submitted 16:4</p> <p>subsistence 18:11</p>	<p>18:22</p> <p>substance 6:8</p> <p>substances 5:5 6:7</p> <p>sudden 10:5</p> <p>sufficient 8:8</p> <p>suggests 4:14</p> <p>suite 15:22</p> <p>summarize 17:7</p> <p>summary 18:24</p> <p>supervision 21:11</p> <p>supply 6:13</p> <p>support 17:20</p> <p>supporting 19:8</p> <p>supports 16:17</p> <p>suppressed 13:22 14:2</p> <p>suppression 14:7 14:12,19</p> <p>Sure 7:25</p> <p>survey 4:20,21,21 5:1 10:24 11:6 12:22</p> <p>surveys 5:3</p> <p>suspect 14:22</p> <p>sustenance 9:13</p> <p>sweeping 11:22</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">T</p> <p>T 21:1,1,1</p> <p>tactical 11:25</p> <p>take 18:11</p> <p>technical 10:23</p> <p>telling 14:18</p> <p>ten 6:7</p> <p>terms 12:21</p> <p>testimony 8:23</p> <p>text 21:10</p> <p>Thank 2:4 7:9 8:17 16:5,6 19:11,14</p> <p>theirs 10:21</p> <p>thing 15:18</p> <p>three 16:2</p> <p>time 2:8 4:25 14:11 15:25</p> <p>timely 2:18</p> <p>tirelessly 9:16</p> <p>tissue 5:16</p> <p>today 8:5 9:2 15:25 16:12</p>
---	---	--	---	--

<p>toxic 3:23 4:1 5:4 6:6 13:7 toxicity 3:25 5:15 6:2 toxins 5:16,17 transcribed 21:10 transcript 1:7 21:12 treaties 18:9 treaty-reserved 18:12,19,25 19:6 tribal 8:8,15 9:12 11:3,6,8 12:16,20 12:22 13:24 14:25 15:1,4,18 18:5,21 19:3 tribe 5:1 8:22,25 9:1,14 12:6 16:1 tribes 5:2,11 8:2,23 8:25 9:10,11,15 9:18,22 10:18,22 10:24 11:1 12:18 14:11,20 15:8 16:2 18:10 tribes' 14:1 trout 17:14,19 true 10:10 21:13 turn 3:10 two 6:8 13:23</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">U</p> <hr/> <p>U.S 13:12 14:6 ultimately 11:23 14:13 unable 4:7 unaltered 12:22 underlying 14:5 understanding 13:3 undertake 10:24 undertaken 16:18 unequivocal 12:21 unfair 14:19 Unfortunately 9:24 United 3:19 18:14 unoccupied 18:14 update 6:5 updated 5:20 6:23 16:15</p>	<p>updates 5:9,12 updating 6:3 Upper 8:2,22,25 use 6:10 15:9 18:22 users' 11:19 uses 4:6 17:21 18:25 19:6 USRT 9:15 11:13 12:6 13:21,24 15:8 16:2,4 usual 18:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">V</p> <hr/> <p>vacuum 13:14 value 6:21 15:10 values 6:8,18,19 VAN 7:20 variables 12:25 various 4:22 5:17 video 2:13 visually 3:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">W</p> <hr/> <p>want 7:23 Washington 12:9 water 3:13,21 5:14 5:18 6:1,11,12,13 6:17 8:7 9:2,4,9 10:6,12,15 11:19 11:25 13:4,8,10 13:19 14:3,6,9,15 15:10,22 16:15 18:6,7 19:9,10 waters 6:10,12 9:7 13:7,12 14:6 18:17 way 4:22 we'll 7:11 19:24 we're 19:21,24 we've 15:3 19:15 welfare 9:23 Werntz 16:7,9,10 WHEREOF 21:15 wholesale 14:2 Wilson 1:11 2:6 8:4 withdraw 15:20 WITNESS 21:15 wonder 14:20 work 9:15 10:1</p>	<p>16:18 working 19:8 worse 10:1 worthy 15:5 written 2:10 11:16 17:4 21:10 wrong 13:17</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">X</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Y</p> <hr/> <p>year-over-year 9:21 yearlong 4:21 years 11:17</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Z</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">0</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">1</p> <hr/> <p>10 3:19 7:3 1026 1:25 21:21 104 6:6 11-sentence 14:14 131.11(a) 4:9 1410 1:15 16.1 15:10 167 3:22 17.5 4:2,8,16 11:10 18 4:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">2</p> <hr/> <p>2000 16:25 17:15 2006 3:21,25 2012 3:19 4:17 6:4 10:15 2014 5:9 13:25 2015 1:8 2:2,8,17 4:18 5:19 7:3 16:23 21:16 2016 7:6 208 6:14 22 5:13 27 1:8 2:2 27th 2:7 29 5:19</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">3</p> <hr/> <p>3:00 1:8 2:2</p>	<p>3:03 2:7 304(a) 5:10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">4</p> <hr/> <p>4:00 19:23 20:3,5 40 4:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">5</p> <hr/> <p>58-0102-1201 1:3 2:10 3:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">6</p> <hr/> <p>6.5 4:2 67-5221 2:17 6th 16:4</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">7</p> <hr/> <p>7 2:17 3:21 75 8:3</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">8</p> <hr/> <p>88 3:23</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">9</p> <hr/> <p>9 21:16 94 5:20 95th 14:25</p>
--	---	---	---

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-IN SHEET

Water Quality Standards, Docket No. 58-0102-1201
 Date and Location: 10/27/15 - Boise, Idaho

Name and Email Address	Affiliation	Do you wish to comment on Rule Docket 58-0102-1201?
Heather Runt heather@usvtf.org	Upper Snake River Tribes Foundation	YES
Charlotte Rodriguez rod49@yahoo.com	Upper Snake River Tribes Buna, Paiute Tribe	YES
Steven Cory stcory@q.com stcory@q.com	ICCE	NO
Barry Bunnell barry.bunnell@deg.idaho.gov	DEQ	NO
Jason Pappani jason.pappani@deg.idaho.gov	DEQ	NO
Michael McIntyre michael.mcintyre@deg.idaho.gov	DEQ	NO

Water Quality Standards, Docket No. 58-0102-1201
Date and Location: 10/27/15 - Boise, Idaho

Name and Email Address	Affiliation	Do you wish to comment on Rule Docket 58-0102-1201?
Sarah Higake	Idaho Power	NO
Cara Hastings	ID.EQ	NO
Jim Wentz	US EPA	YES
Shelby Dawn	Baker Research	NO