



# **Air Quality Permitting Statement of Basis**

**July 14, 2006**

**Permit to Construct No. P-060507**

**Walters Ready Mix, Inc.  
Portable**

**Facility ID No. 777-00381**

Prepared by:

Cheryl A. Robinson, P.E., Permit Writer *CR*  
AIR QUALITY DIVISION

**FINAL PERMIT**

## **Table of Contents**

<b>ACRONYMS, UNITS, AND CHEMICAL NOMENCLATURES .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1. PURPOSE .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2. FACILITY DESCRIPTION .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3. FACILITY / AREA CLASSIFICATION.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4. APPLICATION SCOPE .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>5. PERMIT ANALYSIS.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>6. PERMIT FEES .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>7. PERMIT REVIEW .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>8. RECOMMENDATION.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>APPENDIX A - AIRS INFORMATION.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>APPENDIX B - EMISSIONS INVENTORY .....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>APPENDIX C - MODELING REVIEW.....</b>	<b>20</b>

## **Acronyms, Units, and Chemical Nomenclatures**

<b>AACC</b>	<b>acceptable ambient concentration for carcinogens</b>
<b>acfm</b>	<b>actual cubic feet per minute</b>
<b>AFS</b>	<b>AIRS Facility Subsystem</b>
<b>AIRS</b>	<b>Aerometric Information Retrieval System</b>
<b>CO</b>	<b>carbon monoxide</b>
<b>cy/hr</b>	<b>cubic yards per hour</b>
<b>cy/day</b>	<b>cubic yards per day</b>
<b>cy/yr</b>	<b>cubic yards per consecutive 12-month period</b>
<b>DEQ</b>	<b>Department of Environmental Quality</b>
<b>EI</b>	<b>emissions inventory</b>
<b>EL</b>	<b>emission level</b>
<b>EPA</b>	<b>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</b>
<b>HAPs</b>	<b>Hazardous Air Pollutants</b>
<b>IDAPA</b>	<b>a numbering designation for all administrative rules in Idaho promulgated in accordance with the Idaho Administrative Procedures Act</b>
<b>lb/day</b>	<b>pounds per day</b>
<b>lb/hr</b>	<b>pounds per hour</b>
<b>µg/m<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>micrograms per cubic meter</b>
<b>MACT</b>	<b>Maximum Achievable Control Technology</b>
<b>NAAQS</b>	<b>National Ambient Air Quality Standards</b>
<b>NESHAP</b>	<b>National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants</b>
<b>NO<sub>x</sub></b>	<b>nitrogen oxides</b>
<b>NSPS</b>	<b>New Source Performance Standards</b>
<b>PM</b>	<b>particulate matter</b>
<b>PM<sub>10</sub></b>	<b>particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers</b>
<b>PSD</b>	<b>Prevention of Significant Deterioration</b>
<b>PTC</b>	<b>permit to construct</b>
<b>PTE</b>	<b>potential to emit</b>
<b>SIC</b>	<b>Standard Industrial Classification</b>
<b>SIP</b>	<b>State Implementation Plan</b>
<b>SO<sub>2</sub></b>	<b>sulfur dioxide</b>
<b>T/yr</b>	<b>tons per year</b>
<b>TAP</b>	<b>toxic air pollutant</b>
<b>VOC</b>	<b>volatile organic compound</b>

## 1. PURPOSE

The purpose for this memorandum is to satisfy the requirements of IDAPA 58.01.01.200, Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho, for issuing permits to construct.

## 2. FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Walters Ready Mix, Inc. (Walters) operates a portable Johnson TY267 truck mix concrete plant that is normally located at 244 South, 50 West, approximately 2-1/2 miles south of Driggs, Idaho. The plant's maximum capacity is 70 cubic yards of concrete per hour (cy/hr) and—based on maximum operation for eight hours per day for eight months each year—a maximum of 140,000 cubic yards of concrete per year.

Concrete is produced by combining water, cement, sand (fine aggregate) and gravel (coarse aggregate). Supplementary cementing materials, also called mineral admixtures or pozzolan minerals may be added to make the concrete mixtures more economical, reduce permeability, increase strength, or influence other concrete properties. Typical examples are natural pozzolans, fly ash, ground granulated blast-furnace slag, and silica fume, which can be used individually with Portland or blended cement or in different combinations. Chemical admixtures are usually liquid ingredients that are added to concrete to entrain air, reduce the water required to reach a required slump, retard or accelerate the setting rate, to make the concrete more flowable or other more specialized functions.<sup>1</sup>

A portable concrete batch plant consists of storage bins or stockpiles for the sand and gravel, storage silos for the cement and cement supplement, weigh bins that weigh each component, conveyors, a water supply, and a control panel. Sand and gravel are either produced on site or purchased elsewhere. Typically, three or four different sizes of gravel and one or two different sizes of sand are stockpiled for varying job specifications. Cement and supplementary cementing materials are delivered by truck and pneumatically transferred to the appropriate storage silo. A baghouse or dust collector is mounted above each silo to capture cement or cement supplement as air is displaced in the silo. For this source category, the baghouse is considered primarily as process equipment, with a secondary function as air pollution control equipment. Power to run the facility is provided by the local utility.

After all the storage bins are filled, the production process begins when sand and gravel are drop-fed into their respective weigh bins. When a pre-determined amount of each is weighed, the aggregate is heavily wetted for better mixing and to minimize fugitive dust prior to being dropped onto a conveyor, which transfers the mixture into either a truck for in-transit mixing or a truck mix drum for mixing onsite. A predetermined amount of cement and cement supplement is also weighed and drop-fed through a chute into the mixer. The chute provides a measure of dust control. Sometimes a separate baghouse is used to capture dust from the weigh bins. Water is then added to the truck mix or central mix drum.

## 3. FACILITY / AREA CLASSIFICATION

This Walters portable concrete batch plant is not a major facility as defined in IDAPA 58.01.01.205, nor is it a designated facility as defined in IDAPA 58.01.01.006. The primary Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code for this facility is 3273.

Table 3.1 shows the potential to emit (PTE) for particulate matter (PM), criteria air pollutants and hazardous air pollutant (HAP) emissions from the concrete batch plant for Aerometric Information Retrieval System (AIRS) facility classification purposes. This portable concrete batch plant is classified

---

<sup>1</sup> AP-42 Section 11.12, November 29, 2005 draft.

as a minor facility because, as shown in the table, the potential to emit is less than major source thresholds without requiring limits on the PTE. The AIRS classification is therefore "B."

The facility is a portable facility and may locate anywhere in the state of Idaho except in the Sandpoint PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment area. A relocation form must be completed and submitted to DEQ prior to any relocation.

The AIRS information provided in Appendix A defines the classification for each regulated air pollutant for this portable concrete batch facility. This required information is entered into the EPA AIRS database.

**Table 3.1 EMISSION INVENTORY ESTIMATES – PM AND CRITERIA POLLUTANTS\***

Emission Source	PM (total) (T/yr)	PM <sub>10</sub> (T/yr)	HAPs (total) (T/yr)	Any HAP (T/yr)
Major Source Thresholds	250 (PSD)	100 (Tier I)	25 (Tier I)	10 (Tier I)
Truck Mix Concrete Batch Plant Emissions, point sources only	0.12	0.07	0.013	7.1E-04 (Phosphorus)

\* PTE based on operation at 70 cy/hr x 24 hr/day x 7 days/wk x 40 wks/yr (470,400 cy/yr). The facility is not winterized, and must shut down during the coldest winter months.

#### 4. APPLICATION SCOPE

Walters Ready Mix, Inc. has been operating this Johnson TY267 portable 6-cubic yard concrete batch plant in Driggs, Idaho for a number of years. DEQ determined in 1990 and again in 1995 that the plant was exempt from permitting requirements. The facility is requesting a PTC to reflect an increase in production and modifications to the plant compared to facility operations in 1995. As described in the application and in supplemental information provided by the applicant, the addition of a larger water pump and water lines and a more efficient scale system to the batch equipment increased the maximum capacity of the plant from 40 cy/hr to 70 cy/hr, and the annual production has increased to an estimated maximum of 140,000 cy/yr, based on an average 8-hr day, seven-day production week for eight months each year.

##### 4.1 Application Chronology

March 23, 2006	Receipt of PTC application and \$1,000 application fee.
April 21, 2006	Application determined complete.
April 28, 2006	Opportunity for public comment began.
May 16, 2006	Draft permit sent to Idaho Falls Regional Office (IFRO) for review and comment.
May 19, 2006	Receipt of e-mails from IFRO air quality staff stating that they had no comments.
May 24, 2006	Facility Draft permit issued.
May 30, 2006	Opportunity for public comment closed, with no comments received.
June 12, 2006	Receipt of letter from facility stating that they had no comments on the draft permit.
June 15, 2006	DEQ requested additional information from facility, based on internal review comments.
June 26, 2006	Receipt of additional information from facility clarifying the physical changes to the plant.
July 5, 2006	Receipt of \$1,000 processing fee.

## 5. PERMIT ANALYSIS

This section of the Statement of Basis describes the regulatory requirements for this PTC action.

### 5.1 *Equipment Listing*

#### Portable Ready-Mix Plant

Manufacturer: Johnson-Ross  
Model: TY267  
Maximum capacity: 70 cubic yards per hour

#### Cement Storage Silo Baghouse (Silo Filter Vent)

Manufacturer: McNeilus  
Model No.: SFV-170  
Stack Height: 40 feet  
Stack Inside Diameter: 0.65 feet (8-inch x 6-inch exit) –  
corrected from value of 0.34 ft shown in the application  
Exit Air Flow Rate: 650 cfm  
Capture Efficiency: 99.6%

#### Fly Ash Storage Silo No. 2 Dust Collector

Manufacturer: Stephens Mfg. Co.  
Model No.: SOS-1020 (cartridge-type dust collector)  
Stack Height: 40 feet  
Stack Inside Diameter: 3 feet  
Exit Air Flow Rate: 28 cfm at 0.5" w.g. pressure difference  
Capture Efficiency: 99.995%

### 5.2 *Emissions Inventory*

The emissions inventory (EI) was estimated by DEQ for all point source emissions from this truck mix concrete batch plant (i.e., the storage silo baghouse and dust collector stacks) based on emission factors from AP-42 Section 11.12 (Rev. 10/01), production data provided in the application, and assumptions and calculations described below. Fugitive emissions are not included in the emission estimates. The detailed EI can be found in Appendix B.

The supplemental information provided by the facility on June 26, 2006, described physical changes to the plant that resulted in increasing the maximum capacity from 40 cy/hr to 70 cy/hr. The change in short-term emissions associated with this PTC was based on increasing concrete production by 30 cy/hr. The concrete production schedule described in the application was based on operating the plant for an average of 8 hours per day. DEQ determined that increasing the permitted daily production rate to 24 hours per day would not substantially change the ambient air impacts and would allow greater flexibility for the day-to-day facility operations. The emissions inventory shown in Tables 5.1 and 5.2, therefore, reflects increasing the production capacity by 30 cy/hr for a 24-hour operating day (720 cy/day).

During a June 14, 2006, telephone conversation, the facility described the actual concrete production rate at about 6,000 cy/yr prior to making the physical changes to the plant. However, there was no permit limit or physical design constraint that would have restricted production to that level. The pre-modification allowable maximum capacity was calculated using the same assumptions used to estimate the PTE for current operations (see Table 3.1), i.e., 24 hours per day, 7 days per week, and—because the facility's current configuration does not allow cold-weather operations—operation for only 40 weeks during each year.

The change in the allowable annual production at the facility was therefore calculated as follows:

Pre-modification (exempt):  $40 \text{ cy/hr} \times 24 \text{ hr/day} \times 7 \text{ days/week} \times 40 \text{ wk/yr} = 268,800 \text{ cy/yr}$   
 Post-modification (maximum production requested in the application):  $= 140,000 \text{ cy/yr}$   
 Change in allowable production =  $140,000 - 268,800 = (128,800 \text{ cy/yr})$

The change in annual emissions of criteria pollutants associated with this PTC was therefore based on a decrease in the annual allowable production of 128,800 cy/yr.

**Table 5.1 EMISSION INVENTORY OF CRITERIA POLLUTANTS – CHANGE DUE TO THIS PTC\***

Pollutant Source	PM <sub>10</sub>			Lead		
	lb/hr	lb/day	T/yr	lb/hr	lb/month	T/yr
Cement Storage Silo Baghouse	0.003	0.072	-0.0064	8.03E-08	5.84E-05	-3.45E-04
Flyash Storage Silo No. 2 Dust Collector	0.006	0.144	-0.0129	5.69E-07	4.15E-04	-1.64E-02
Total (point sources only)	0.009	0.216	-0.0193	6.50E-07	4.73E-04	-1.68E-02

\* Increased production by 30 cy/hr, 720 cy/day, and decreased allowable annual production by 128,800 cy/yr.

In accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.210.02.b, the uncontrolled emissions of toxic air pollutants (TAPs) were calculated using the maximum capacity of the source or modification under its physical and operational design without the effect of any physical or operational limitations. The change in the maximum capacity for noncarcinogenic TAPs, which are subject to 24-hour average standards, was based on increasing the production capacity by 30 cy/hr for a 24-hour operating day (720 cy/day).

The change in the maximum capacity for carcinogenic TAPs, which are subject to annual average standards, for this PTC modification was calculated as follows, based on the assumptions used to estimate the facility's PTE:

Pre-modification:  $40 \text{ cy/hr} \times 24 \text{ hr/day} \times 7 \text{ days/week} \times 40 \text{ wk/yr} = 268,800 \text{ cy/yr}$   
 Post-modification:  $70 \text{ cy/hr} \times 24 \text{ hr/day} \times 7 \text{ days/week} \times 40 \text{ wk/yr} = 470,400 \text{ cy/yr}$   
 Change in uncontrolled production =  $470,400 - 268,800 = 201,600 \text{ cy/yr}$

The change in uncontrolled TAPs emissions associated with this PTC is shown in Table 5.2.

**Table 5.2 EMISSION INVENTORY OF TAPs METALS – PTC CHANGE, UNCONTROLLED EMISSIONS**

Source	Arsenic	Beryllium	Cadmium	Chromium	Manganese	Nickel	Phosphorus	Selenium
	lb/hr, annual average	lb/hr, annual average	lb/hr, annual average	lb/hr, 24-hr average	lb/hr, 24-hr average	lb/hr, annual average	lb/hr, 24-hr average	lb/hr, 24-hr average
Cement Silo Baghouse + Flyash Silo Dust Collector	5.67E-06	5.13E-07	1.94E-08	9.20E-06	2.75E-06	1.31E-05	1.13E-04	7.93E-08
IDAPA TAPs EL (lb/hr)	1.50E-06	2.80E-05	3.70E-06	3.3E-02	3.33E-01	2.70E-05	7.00E-03	1.30E-02
Exceed TAPs EL?	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No

\* Per a June 2, 2006, e-mail, Michael Dubois to Michael Simon, et al, "Hex Chrome for Concrete Batch Plants," the TAPs analysis for chromium is based on comparison with the chromium screening level in IDAPA 58.01.01.585 for noncarcinogens.

### 5.3 Modeling

Based on current DEQ modeling guidance,<sup>2</sup> modeling is required for an increase in PM<sub>10</sub> emissions of 0.2 pounds per hour or 1 ton per year or greater. As shown in Table 5.1, increasing concrete production by 30 cy/hr over a 24-hour operational day (i.e., an increase of 720 cy of concrete per day), results in increasing the 24-hour average PM<sub>10</sub> emissions from point sources at the facility by 0.009 pounds per hour and *decreasing* by 0.02 tons per year. Modeling of PM<sub>10</sub> emissions from the facility was therefore not required.

Lead emissions from point sources at the facility were estimated to increase by 4.7E-04 pounds per month and *decrease* by 0.0168 tons per year, significantly less than the modeling thresholds of increases of 100 pounds per month and 0.6 tons per year. Modeling of lead emissions was therefore not required.

As shown in Table 5.2, except for arsenic, uncontrolled TAPs metals emissions from the plant's point sources are below the applicable screening EL, so modeling was not required for those emissions. Modeling was required, however, for the arsenic emissions. DEQ's SCREEN3 modeling result for the increase in the uncontrolled ambient concentration of arsenic is shown in Table 5.3. Detailed modeling results are included as Appendix C.

Based on these conservative screening level modeling results, the increase in uncontrolled ambient impacts from arsenic emissions associated with this PTC is 59% of the acceptable ambient concentration for carcinogens (AACC).

**Table 5.3 AMBIENT IMPACTS FROM THIS PTC – TAPS – ARSENIC UNCONTROLLED EMISSIONS**

Toxic Air Pollutants Carcinogens	Averaging Period	Persistence Factor	Emission Rate lb/hr annual average	SCREEN3 Dispersion Coefficient µg/m <sup>3</sup> per lb/hr	Maximum Predicted Ambient Impact (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	AACC (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Percent of AACC
Cement Silo Baghouse	Annual	0.0125	2.40E-08	53.6	1.61E-07		
Flyash Silo No 2 Dust Collector	Annual	0.125	5.65E-06	192.3	1.36E-04		
<b>Total</b>					<b>1.36E-04</b>	<b>2.30E-04</b>	<b>59%</b>

### 5.4 Regulatory Review

This section describes the regulatory analysis of the applicable air quality rules with respect to this PTC.

#### IDAPA 58.01.01.201 ..... Permit to Construct Required

Walters Ready Mix has requested a PTC to reflect physical changes made to the plant between July 1, 1995, and the present, as described in the supplemental information received on June 26, 2006, to increase the hourly production capacity from 40 cy/hr to 70 cy/hr of concrete, and to authorize a maximum annual concrete production level of 140,000 cy/yr for this batch plant.

#### IDAPA 58.01.01.203 ..... National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)

Estimated emissions of criteria pollutants (in this case, for emissions of PM<sub>10</sub> and lead) were well below currently published DEQ modeling guidance thresholds. Modeling of PM<sub>10</sub> and lead ambient air quality impacts was therefore not required to demonstrate compliance with NAAQS, and stack source testing requirements were not imposed. Therefore, specific emission limits on these criteria pollutants were not needed to ensure compliance with air quality standards.

<sup>2</sup> December 31, 2002, "State of Idaho Air Quality Modeling Guideline," DEQ Document ID AQ-011, Revision 1.

The facility has demonstrated compliance, to DEQ's satisfaction, that this project will not cause or significantly contribute to a violation of any ambient air quality standards.

**IDAPA 58.01.01.209.05..... Permit to Construct Procedures for Tier I Sources**

The estimated emissions of PM<sub>10</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, CO, VOC, and HAPs from this facility do not exceed any major source threshold. Therefore, this is not a Tier I source.

**IDAPA 58.01.01.203.3..... Toxic Air Pollutants**

**IDAPA 58.01.01.210..... Preconstruction Compliance with Toxics Standards**

The facility was operating prior to July 1, 1995, and submitted an application on July 21, 1995, that described a batch plant with a maximum concrete production capacity of 40 cy/hr. The physical changes to the facility that are described in this PTC therefore occurred after July 1, 1995, and the increase in TAPs emissions associated with the modification is subject to the state-only TAPs standards.

The increase in TAPs emissions was based on increasing the production rate by 30 cy/hr (720 cy/day for 24-hour operations) and increasing the uncontrolled maximum production capacity by 201,600 cy/yr. Based on AP-42 emission factors, eight TAP metals may be emitted from this facility.

As shown in Table 5.2, except for arsenic, uncontrolled TAPs metals emissions from the plant's point sources are below the applicable screening EL. In accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.210.05, no further procedures were required to demonstrate preconstruction compliance for these emissions, and imposition of pollutant-specific emission limits was not required.

As shown in Table 5.3, the modeled uncontrolled ambient concentration of arsenic did not exceed the applicable AACC. In accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.210.06, no further procedures were required to demonstrate preconstruction compliance for these emissions, and imposition of a pollutant-specific emission limit was not required.

The comparison of the TAPs emissions against the screening ELs, combined with the modeling results, demonstrates to DEQ's satisfaction that the facility would be in compliance with carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic TAPs increments listed in IDAPA 58.01.01.585 and IDAPA 58.01.01.586. In accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.203.03, this also demonstrates compliance with IDAPA 58.01.01.161.

**IDAPA 58.01.01.625..... Visible Emissions**

This rule has been incorporated as a permit condition to require control of particulate emissions from concrete batch plant point sources.

**IDAPA 58.01.01.650-651..... Rules for the Control of Fugitive Dust**

This rule has been incorporated as a permit condition to require control of fugitive dust from the concrete batch plant.

**40 CFR 60 ..... New Source Performance Standards, Subpart OOO, Standards of Performance for Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants**

The provisions of this subpart do not apply to stand-alone screening operations at plants without crushers or grinding mills. The facility is therefore not subject to NSPS.

## **5.5 Permit Conditions Review**

This section describes the basis for the conditions that have been included in this permit.

### **Permit Condition 2.2**

This condition requires compliance with the IDAPA 58.01.01.625 20% opacity limit. This condition is applicable only when the facility is operating.

### **Compliance Assurance**

Particulate matter emissions from point sources are controlled by a baghouse or dust collector. In order to assure the baghouse/dust collector are operated as designed, Permit Condition 2.4 requires development and implementation of an Operations and Maintenance (O & M) manual that includes procedures for monthly inspections. Permit Condition 2.8 requires monthly inspections, and requires recordkeeping for the inspection results and any corrective actions prompted by the inspection findings. Permit Condition 2.12 requires that records for the most recent two years be kept onsite and made available upon request.

### **Permit Condition 2.3**

Short-term production limits (e.g., hourly or daily) were not needed to ensure compliance with the short-term NAAQS for PM<sub>10</sub> or lead. This condition limits the concrete production for the facility on an annual average with a monthly rolling period.

### **Compliance Assurance**

Permit Condition 2.7 requires recording of the monthly and annual concrete production, and Permit Condition 2.12 requires that records for the most recent two years be kept onsite and made available upon request.

### **Permit Conditions 2.5 and 2.6**

These permit conditions require implementation of strategies to control fugitive dust. This condition is applicable even during periods when the facility is not producing concrete.

### **Compliance Assurance**

Permit Condition 2.9 requires monthly facility-wide inspections of potential sources of fugitive dust, and requires recordkeeping for the inspection results and any corrective actions prompted by the inspection findings. Permit Condition 2.12 requires that records for the most recent two years be kept onsite and made available upon request.

### **Permit Condition 2.10**

Permit Condition 2.10 authorizes this facility to relocate and operate in any PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment area, except for the Sandpoint PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment area (facility-wide modeling would be required to allow operation in Sandpoint). The increase in PM<sub>10</sub> emissions associated with this PTC was below DEQ modeling thresholds.

### **Compliance Assurance**

Permit Condition 2.10 requires the permittee to contact DEQ for current area status and more specific details about the nonattainment area boundaries. An interactive map showing the boundaries of PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment areas can also be accessed on the DEQ website using the following steps to zoom in to map levels showing named streets:

1. Access the DEQ website at <http://www.deq.idaho.gov/>;
2. Select Maps & Data, Interactive Mapping;

3. Click on the link to the Air Quality Monitoring Website; and
4. Zoom in on the area of interest by selecting the "+" icon and clicking on the interactive map.

Permit Condition 2.7 requires monitoring to ensure that the annual production of concrete is limited, Permit Conditions 2.8 and 2.9 require control of fugitive dust, monthly facility-wide inspections of potential sources of fugitive dust, and recordkeeping for the inspection results and any corrective actions prompted by the inspection findings. Permit Condition 2.12 requires that records for the most recent two years be kept onsite and made available upon request. Permit Condition 2.13 requires submittal of a Portable Equipment Registration and Relocation Form (PERF), including a scaled plot plan, prior to any relocation.

### **Permit Condition 2.11**

This permit condition authorizes this facility to collocate with any facility.

Based on the estimates shown in Table 5.4, the emissions from this concrete batch plant would be at most one-tenth of the levels needed to trigger dispersion modeling for criteria pollutants, and the controlled ambient impacts from TAPs emissions would be less than about six percent for any of the TAPs metals for which emission factors are available. Collocation was therefore authorized with no change in the allowable short-term or long term concrete production compared to stand-alone operations. The emission inventory for this case is included in Appendix B, and the screening modeling results are included in Appendix C.

**Table 5.4 ESTIMATED EMISSIONS AND IMPACTS AT PERMITTED PRODUCTION CAPACITY**

Criteria Pollutants, Emission Rates	Operation at Permitted Capacity, 70 cy/hr and 140,000 cy/yr	Modeling Threshold or Threshold of Concern	Percent of Threshold
PM <sub>10</sub>	0.021 lb/hr	0.2 lb/hr	10.5%
PM <sub>10</sub>	0.021 T/yr	1.0 T/yr	2.1%
Lead	0.001 lb/month	100 lb/month	0.001%
Lead	6.6E-06 T/yr	0.6 T/yr	0.001%
<b>TAPs*</b>			
Arsenic (controlled emission)	6.00E-07 lb/hr	1.50E-06 lb/hr (EL)	40%
Arsenic (controlled ambient impact)	1.40E-05 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	2.30E-04 µg/m <sup>3</sup> (AACC)	6.1%
Max for all other TAPs (controlled emission)	1.49E-06 lb/hr, Nickel	2.70E-05 lb/hr (EL)	5.53%
Max for all other TAPs (controlled ambient impact)	3.21E-05 µg/m <sup>3</sup> , Nickel	4.20E-03 µg/m <sup>3</sup> (AACC)	0.76%

\* State-only TAPs requirements do not apply to facilities that were permitted or operating prior to July 1, 1995. The only TAPs regulated under this PTC are the emissions that resulted from the facility modifications after that date. TAPs emissions at the full permitted production capacity are provided for the collocation discussion only.

### **Compliance Assurance**

Permit Condition 2.7 requires recording of the monthly and annual concrete production, and Permit Condition 2.12 requires that records for the most recent two years be kept onsite and made available upon request.

### **Remaining Permit Conditions**

The permit conditions that have not been discussed in this section are self-explanatory and are not included in this statement of basis.

## 6. PERMIT FEES

On March 23, 2006, Walters Ready Mix, Inc. paid the \$1,000 application fee required by IDAPA 58.01.01 224.

A permit to construct processing fee of \$1,000 is required in accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.225 because the estimated total change in emissions of -0.19 tons per year resulting from the changes associated with this PTC is less than one ton per year. Walters paid the \$1,000 processing fee on July 5, 2006. This facility is not a major facility and is not subject to registration fees.

**Table 6.1 PTC PROCESSING FEE TABLE**

Emissions Inventory			
Pollutant	Annual Emissions Increase (T/yr)	Annual Emissions Reduction (T/yr)	Annual Emissions Change (T/yr)
NO <sub>x</sub>	0.0	0	0.0
SO <sub>2</sub>	0.0	0	0.0
CO	0.0	0	0.0
PM <sub>10</sub>		0.0193	-0.0193
VOC	0.0	0	0.0
HAPS		2.30E-05	-2.30E-05
Total:	0.0	-0.19	-0.19
Fee Due	\$1,000.00		

## 7. PERMIT REVIEW

### 7.1 Regional Review of Draft Permit

On May 16, 2006, a draft of the permit and statement of basis was provided electronically to the Idaho Falls Regional Office (IFRO) for review. On May 19, two e-mails were received from IFRO air quality staff stating that they had no comments.

### 7.2 Facility Review of Draft Permit

On May 24, 2006, a draft of the permit and statement of basis was issued to the facility for review. On June 12, 2006, the facility notified DEQ that they had no comments.

### 7.3 Public Comment

An opportunity for public comment period on the PTC application was provided from April 28, 2006, through May 30, 2006, in accordance with IDAPA 58.01.01.209.01.c. During this time, there were no comments on the application and no requests for a public comment period on DEQ's proposed action.

## 8. RECOMMENDATION

Based on review of application materials, and all applicable state and federal rules and regulations, staff recommends that Walters Ready Mix, Inc., be issued final PTC No. P-060507 for the portable concrete ready-mix plant. No public comment period is recommended, no entity has requested a comment period, and the project does not involve PSD requirements.

CR/bf Permit No. P-060507

G:\Air Quality\Stationary Source\SS Ltd\PTC\Walters Ready Mix\P-060507\Final\Walters P-060507 Driggs Final SB.doc

**Appendix A**

***AIRS Information***

**P-060507**

**AIRS/AFS<sup>a</sup> FACILITY-WIDE CLASSIFICATION<sup>b</sup> DATA ENTRY FORM**

**Facility Name:** Walters Ready Mix, Inc., Driggs Johnson TY267 Concrete Batch Plant  
**Facility Location:** Portable  
**AIRS Number:** 777-00381

AIR PROGRAM POLLUTANT	SIP	PSD	NSPS (Part 60)	NESHAP (Part 61)	MACT (Part 63)	SM80	TITLE V	AREA CLASSIFICATION A-Attainment U-Unclassified N- Nonattainment
SO <sub>2</sub>								
NO <sub>x</sub>								
CO								
PM <sub>10</sub>	B							U
PT (Particulate)	B							U
VOC								
THAP (Total HAPs)	B							
<b>APPLICABLE SUBPART</b>								

<sup>a</sup> Aerometric Information Retrieval System (AIRS) Facility Subsystem (AFS)

<sup>b</sup> AIRS/AFS Classification Codes:

- A = Actual or potential emissions of a pollutant are above the applicable major source threshold. For HAPs only, class "A" is applied to each pollutant which is at or above the 10 T/yr threshold, or each pollutant that is below the 10 T/yr threshold, but contributes to a plant total in excess of 25 T/yr of all HAPs.
- SM = Potential emissions fall below applicable major source thresholds if and only if the source complies with federally enforceable regulations or limitations.
- B = Actual and potential emissions below all applicable major source thresholds.
- C = Class is unknown.
- ND = Major source thresholds are not defined (e.g., radionuclides).

**Appendix B**

***Emissions Inventory***

**P-060507**

**B.1 PM10 EI and PM/PM10 Emissions: FACILITY CLASSIFICATION for Truck Mix Portable Concrete Batch Plant**

Facility Information 7/7/06 17:20

Company:	Walters Ready Mix, Inc., Reburg, Idaho
Facility ID:	777-00381
Permit No.:	P-060507
Source Type:	Truck Mix Portable Concrete Batch Plant
Manufacturer:	Johnson-Ross, Model TY287 (Driggs, ID Portable Unit)

**NEW MAXIMUM PRODUCTION TOTALS**  
 70 cy/hr  
 24 -hr operation day  
 140,000 cy/yr

**NET INCREASE IN Production<sup>1</sup>**

Maximum Hourly Production Rate:	70	cy/hr
Proposed Daily Production Rate:	1,680	cy/day
Proposed Maximum Annual Production Rate:	140,000	cy/year
Cement Storage Silo Capacity:		# of cement silos
Cement Storage Silo Large Compartment Capacity for cement only:		of the silo capacity
Cement Storage Silo small Compartment Capacity for cement or aggregate:		of the silo capacity

<sup>1</sup> Information from the applicant's submittal received by DEQ on March 23, 2006

**Assumptions Implied or Stated in Application:**

Requested daily production was based on 8 hour/day @ 70 cy/hr. Emissions inventory is based on 24 hr day to allow deleting permit daily production limits. Max Annual is based on 7-day/week operation for 8 months each year, or ~ 2,800 hrs @ 70 cy/hr = 140,000 cy/yr

**Change in PM<sub>10</sub> Emissions due to this PTC**

Emissions Point	PM <sub>10</sub> Emission Factor <sup>1</sup> (lb/cy)		Emission Rate, Max. (lb/hr) <sup>2</sup>	Emission Rate, 24-hour average		Emission Rate, annual average	
	Controlled	Uncontrolled		lb/hr <sup>2</sup>	lb/day <sup>2</sup>	lb/hr <sup>2</sup>	T/yr <sup>2</sup>
Aggregate delivery to ground storage		0.0031	0.22	0.217	5.208	0.050	0.22
Sand delivery to ground storage		0.0007	0.049	0.049	1.176	0.011	0.06
Aggregate transfer to conveyor		0.0031	0.22	0.217	5.208	0.050	0.22
Sand transfer to conveyor		0.0007	0.049	0.049	1.176	0.011	0.05
Aggregate transfer to elevated storage		0.0031	0.22	0.217	5.208	0.050	0.22
Sand transfer to elevated storage		0.0007	0.049	0.049	1.176	0.011	0.05
Cement delivery to silo (Mettlert 8FV178)	0.0001		0.007	0.007	0.168	0.0016	0.0070
Cement supplement delivery to silo (Stephens SCS-1038)	0.0002		0.014	0.014	0.336	0.0032	0.0140
Weight hopper loading (batcher loading)		0.0038	0.27	0.269	6.384	0.061	0.27
TRUCK MIX LOADING @ 140 <sup>3</sup> [1 silo 11.12-2 Factor of 1.122]		0.1571	11.00	10.998	263.994	2.510	11.00
<b>Point Sources Total Emissions</b>	<b>0.0003</b>		<b>2.10E-02</b>	<b>2.10E-02</b>	<b>6.04E-01</b>	<b>4.79E-03</b>	<b>2.10E-02</b>
Process Fugitive Emissions		0.17228	12.06	12.06	289.43	2.75	12.06
<b>Facility Wide Total: Point Sources + Process Fugitives (Except for Road Dust and Windblown Dust)</b>		<b>0.1726</b>	<b>12.06</b>	<b>12.06</b>	<b>289.93</b>	<b>2.78</b>	<b>12.06</b>

**POTENTIAL TO EXIT - FACILITY CLASSIFICATION<sup>4</sup>**

at **470,400 cy/yr**

Facility Classification Total PM <sup>5</sup>	0.0005						<b>0.12</b>
Facility Classification Total PM10 <sup>6</sup>	0.0003						<b>0.67</b>

<sup>1</sup> The EFs are taken from AP-42, Table 11.12-3 (version 10/01)

<sup>2</sup> Max. hourly rate = EF in lb/cy x Max. hourly production rate in cy/hr

<sup>3</sup> Hourly emissions rate, 24-hr average = Max. hourly emissions rate x proposed daily production / max. hour production rate / 24. Daily emissions rate =

<sup>4</sup> Annual average hourly emissions rate = Max. hourly rate x proposed annual production rate / max. hourly production rate / 8760 hr. Annual emissions rate =

<sup>5</sup> Controlled EFs for PM = 0.0002 (cement silo) + 0.0003 (cement supplement silo) for PM10 = 0.0001 (cement silo) + 0.0002 (supplement silo)

<sup>6</sup> PTE for Facility Classification is based on baghouses as process equipment, 24-hr day, 7 days/week, 40 wk/yr =

1,680 cy/day, and 470,400 cy/yr



**B.1 PM10 Emission Inventory and PM/PM10 Emissions for Truck Mix Portable Concrete Batch Plant**

Facility Information 7/7/08 17:21

Company:	Walters Ready Mix, Inc., Rexburg, Idaho
Facility ID:	777-00381
Permit No.:	P-060507
Source Type:	Truck Mix Portable Concrete Batch Plant
Manufacturer:	Johnson-Ross, Model TY387 (Driggs, ID Portable Unit)

**NEW MAXIMUM PRODUCTION TOTALS**  
 70 cy/hr  
 24 -hr operation day  
 148,000 cy/yr

**NET INCREASE IN Production<sup>1</sup>**

Maximum Hourly Production Rate:	30	cy/hr
Proposed Daily Production Rate:	720	cy/day
Proposed Maximum Annual Production Rate:	128,800	cy/year
Cement Storage Silo Capacity:		% of regulated cement
Cement Storage Silo Lapse Compartment Capacity for cement only:		of the silo capacity
Cement Storage Silo small Compartment Capacity for cement or ash:		of the silo capacity

**NET INCREASE IN PRODUCTION NOTES:**  
 = 70 cy/hr - 40 cy/hr  
 based on 24-hr operation per day at delta rate  
 = 140,000 cy/yr - 288,800 cy/yr

Information from the applicant's submittal received by DEQ on March 23, 2008

**Assumptions Implied or Stated in Application:**  
 Requested daily production was based on 8 hours/day @ 70 cy/hr. Emissions inventory is based on 24 hr day to allow deleting permit daily production limits.  
 Max Annual is based on 7-day/week operation for 6 months each year, or ~ 2,000 hrs @ 70 cy/hr = 140,000 cy/yr

**Change in PM<sub>10</sub> Emissions due to this PTC**

Emissions Point	PM <sub>10</sub> Emission Factor <sup>1</sup> (lb/cy)		Emission Rate, Max. lb/hr <sup>2</sup>	Emission Rate, 24-hour average		Emission Rate, annual average	
	Controlled	Uncontrolled		lb/hr <sup>3</sup>	lb/yr <sup>4</sup>	lb/hr <sup>5</sup>	Ton <sup>6</sup>
Aggregate delivery to ground storage		0.0031	0.09	0.093	2.232	-0.046	-0.20
Sand delivery to ground storage		0.0007	0.021	0.021	0.504	-0.010	-0.05
Aggregate transfer to conveyor		0.0031	0.09	0.093	2.232	-0.046	-0.20
Sand transfer to conveyor		0.0007	0.021	0.021	0.504	-0.010	-0.05
Aggregate transfer to elevated storage		0.0031	0.09	0.093	2.232	-0.046	-0.20
Sand transfer to elevated storage		0.0007	0.021	0.021	0.504	-0.010	-0.05
Cement delivery to silo (Michelle SFV179)	0.0001		0.003	0.003	0.072	-0.0015	-0.0004
Cement supplement delivery to Silo (Stephens SOB-1036)	0.0002		0.006	0.006	0.144	-0.0029	-0.0129
Weight hopper loading (batcher loading)		0.0038	0.11	0.114	2.736	-0.056	-0.24
TRUCK MAX LOADING = 0.140 <sup>7</sup> [TABLE 11.12-2 FACTOR OF 1.122]		0.1571	4.71	4.712	113.088	-2.310	-10.12
<b>Point Sources Total Emissions</b>	<b>0.0003</b>		<b>9.00E-03</b>	<b>9.00E-03</b>	<b>2.16E-01</b>	<b>-4.41E-03</b>	<b>-1.93E-02</b>
Process Fugitive Emissions		0.17228	5.17	5.17	124.04	-2.53	-11.09
<b>Facility Wide Total: Point Sources + Process Fugitives (Except for Road Dust and Windblown Dust)</b>		<b>0.1726</b>	<b>5.18</b>	<b>5.18</b>	<b>124.26</b>	<b>-2.54</b>	<b>-11.11</b>

<sup>1</sup> The EFs are taken from AP-42, Table 11.12-3 (version 10/01)  
<sup>2</sup> Max. hourly rate = EF in lb/cy x Max. hourly production rate in cy/hr  
<sup>3</sup> Hourly emissions rate, 24-hr average = Max. hourly emissions rate x proposed daily production / max. hour production rate / 24. Daily emissions rate =  
<sup>4</sup> Annual average hourly emissions rate = Max hourly rate x proposed annual production rate/max. hourly production rate/8760 hr. Annual emissions rate =  
<sup>5</sup> Controlled EFs for PM = 0.0002 (cement silo) + 0.0003 (cement supplement silo) for PM10 = 0.0001 (cement silo) + 0.0002 (supplement silo)

**0.3 Toxic Air Pollutant (TAPs) Emissions Inventory for Truck Mix Portable Concrete Batch Plant - Increase of 30 cycles, 720 cycles, & 1,260,000 cpy<sup>1</sup>**

Increase 11/21

Company	Vehicle Ready Mix, Inc., Reading, Idaho	2018	2019	2020
Family Co.	777-40281	30,000	30,000	30,000
Plant No.	P-000077	40	40	40
Source Type	Truck Mix Portable Concrete Batch Plant	75	75	75
Manufacturer	Johnson-Ross, Model TV207 (Oregon, ID Portable Concrete Batch Plant)	25	25	25

Change in Production (Unaccounted)	2018	2019	2020
Change in Production (Unaccounted)	30,000	30,000	30,000

**Change in Production (Unaccounted)**  
 2018: 30,000 cpy  
 2019: 30,000 cpy  
 2020: 30,000 cpy

**TAP Emissions Factors from AP-42, Table 11.1-4.3 (National MOF)**  
 At 40 cpy: 24.71 x 10<sup>-6</sup>  
 At 30 cpy: 18.53 x 10<sup>-6</sup>  
 At 20 cpy: 12.35 x 10<sup>-6</sup>

Component	2018	2019	2020
Concrete batch plant	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete mixer	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete pump	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete truck	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete batch plant	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete mixer	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete pump	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete truck	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00

Component	2018	2019	2020
Concrete batch plant	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete mixer	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete pump	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete truck	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete batch plant	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete mixer	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete pump	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete truck	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00

Component	2018	2019	2020
Concrete batch plant	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete mixer	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete pump	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete truck	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete batch plant	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete mixer	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete pump	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete truck	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00

Component	2018	2019	2020
Concrete batch plant	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete mixer	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete pump	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete truck	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete batch plant	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete mixer	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete pump	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete truck	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00

Component	2018	2019	2020
Concrete batch plant	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete mixer	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete pump	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete truck	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete batch plant	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete mixer	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete pump	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete truck	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00

Component	2018	2019	2020
Concrete batch plant	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete mixer	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete pump	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete truck	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete batch plant	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete mixer	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete pump	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete truck	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00

Component	2018	2019	2020
Concrete batch plant	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete mixer	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete pump	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete truck	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete batch plant	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete mixer	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete pump	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete truck	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00

Component	2018	2019	2020
Concrete batch plant	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete mixer	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete pump	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete truck	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete batch plant	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete mixer	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete pump	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete truck	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00

Component	2018	2019	2020
Concrete batch plant	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete mixer	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete pump	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete truck	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete batch plant	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete mixer	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete pump	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete truck	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00

Component	2018	2019	2020
Concrete batch plant	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete mixer	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete pump	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete truck	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete batch plant	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete mixer	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete pump	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00
Concrete truck	1,000-00	1,000-00	1,000-00

**Change in Production (Unaccounted)**  
 2018: 30,000 cpy  
 2019: 30,000 cpy  
 2020: 30,000 cpy

**Appendix C**

***Modeling Review***

**P-060507**

TABLE C.1 Ambient Impact Analysis for Portable Concrete Batch Truck Mix Plant - "Delta" Ambient Air Impacts

1/1/2008 10:28

<b>Facility Information</b>	
Company:	Walters Ready Mix, Inc., Newburg, Ohio
Facility ID:	777-00381
Formal No.:	P-060507
Source Type:	Truck Mix Portable Concrete Batch Plant
Manufacturer:	Johnson-Steel, Model TV247 (Design ID: P-060507)

**Stack Parameters from the Applicant<sup>1</sup>**

Point Source	Stack Height	Stack Area (rectangles)	Stack Diameter, A = $\pi r^2$	Stack Temperature <sup>3</sup>	Stack Exit Configuration, using "Y" if check "other" please describe the configuration
Current Storage Silo	40	0.33	0.65	293	
Baghouse- Silo Filter Vent (Machias SFV-170)	40	0.33	0.65	293	
Ply Air Storage Silo No. 2	40	0.33	0.65	293	
Dust Collector (Rephors SOS-1028)	40	0.33	0.65	293	

<sup>1</sup> SFV-170 stack equivalent diameter reported in the application was 0.34 ft. Based on the additional description of an 8" x 8" exit, 0.34 ft is the equivalent stack radius.

<sup>2</sup> Air flows as specification sheets is given in cfm, presented to be equal to acfm

<sup>3</sup> Stack temperature not given in application, use ambient temperature.

**Modeling Output**

Point Source	SCREEN3 Modeling Output @ 10m or 0.125 mi <sup>2</sup>		Emission Inventory	Point Source Ambient Impact <sup>1</sup>		PM10 Background Concentration <sup>2</sup>		Total Ambient Concentration <sup>3</sup>		% of NAAQS		
	Distance to Max. Concentration (ft)	Max. Concentration (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )		24-hour persistence factor, criteria, design health	Annual persistence factor, criteria, design health	PM10 Estimated Emission Rate (lb/yr)	PM10 Estimated Emission Rate (24-hr average, lb/yr)	10m <sup>2</sup> , 24-hr annual average	10m <sup>2</sup> , 24-hr annual average	10m <sup>2</sup> , 24-hr annual average	10m <sup>2</sup> , 24-hr annual average	24-hour average
Current Storage Silo	176	53.6					73	26	190	50	48%	87%
Baghouse- Silo Filter Vent (Machias SFV-170)												
Ply Air Storage Silo No. 2												
Dust Collector (Rephors SOS-1028)												

**Point Source PM10 Impacts**

Point Source, Ambient, Uncorrected Ambient Impact <sup>1</sup>	Distance to Max. Concentration (ft)	Max. Concentration (ug/m <sup>3</sup> )	Annual persistence factor, criteria	Ambient Emission Rate, lb/yr, uncorrected annual average	10m <sup>2</sup> , annual average	Percent of AAQC (Annual Average)
Current Storage Silo	176	53.6	0.125	2.40E-06	1.01E-07	
Baghouse- Silo Filter Vent (Machias SFV-170)						
Ply Air Storage Silo No. 2						
Dust Collector (Rephors SOS-1028)	88	182.3	0.125	5.65E-06	1.36E-04	
<b>Total</b>				<b>1.36E-05</b>	<b>1.36E-05</b>	<b>2.30E-04</b>

<sup>1</sup> Uncorrected ambient concentration and the distance from the respective emission point were taken from output files of SCREEN3

<sup>2</sup> SCREEN3 Mix Concentration x Persistence Factor x lb/yr

<sup>3</sup> Background concentrations from March 14, 2003 means to Mary Anderson from Rick Herby and Kevin Schilling, "Background Concentrations for Use in New Source Review Dispersion Modeling"

<sup>4</sup> Add ambient concentration to background concentration to obtain total ambient concentration

**TABLE C.1 Ambient Impact Analysis for Portable Concrete Batch Truck Mix Plant Operations at 70 cphr, 1,000 cphr & 140,000 cphr**  
7/16/06 10:28

Facility Information Client: Walters Ready Mix, Inc., Newark, NJ Facility ID: 777-00381 Permit No.: P-060507 Source Type: Truck Mix Portable Concrete Batch Plant Manufacturer: Johnson-Deere, Model: D78E, 8.7-cylinder Unit						
Stack Parameters from the Applicant <sup>1</sup>						
Point Source	Stack Height	Stack Area (Footage)	Stack Diameter, A (ft)	Air Pressure <sup>2</sup>	Stack Temperature <sup>3</sup>	Stack Exit Temperature (°F)
Control Stack 1	45	0.33	0.16	0.00	293	293
Control Stack 2	45	0.33	0.16	0.00	293	293

<sup>1</sup> 80% of 170 cphr cement content is assumed in the calculation as 0.34 ft. Based on the ambient description of an 8" x 8" wall, 0.34 ft is the equivalent stack depth.  
<sup>2</sup> Air flows on windward side of plant is 10 ft/s, assumed to be equal to zero.  
<sup>3</sup> Stack temperatures are given in application, use ambient temperature.

**Modeling Output**

Point Source	SCREEN3 Modeling Output @ 100 ft x 100 ft <sup>4</sup>		Emission Inventory	Point Source Ambient Impact <sup>5</sup>	PM10 Background Concentration <sup>6</sup>		Total Ambient Concentration <sup>7</sup>		% of NAAQS	
	Distance to Max. Concentration (ft)	Max. Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )			PM10 Estimated Stationary Rate (24-hr average, lbs/hr)	PM10 Estimated Stationary Rate (24-hr average, lbs/hr)	100% <sup>8</sup> 24-hr annual average	100% <sup>8</sup> 24-hr annual average	100% <sup>8</sup> 24-hr annual average	100% <sup>8</sup> 24-hr annual average
Control Stack 1	176	5.26	0.4	0.00						
Control Stack 2	86	102.3	0.4	0.00						
<b>PM10 Estimated Stationary Rate (24-hr average, lbs/hr)</b>										
<b>73</b>										

Point Source	SCREEN3 Modeling Output @ 100 ft x 100 ft <sup>4</sup>		Annual Permissible Limit, cphr							
	Distance to Max. Concentration (ft)	Max. Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )								
Control Stack 1	176	5.26	0.125	0.125	1.00E-06	1.00E-06	1.11E-08	1.11E-08	3.20E-06	0.10%
Control Stack 2	86	102.3	0.125	0.125	1.00E-06	1.00E-06	1.40E-06	1.40E-06	4.20E-06	0.13%
<b>Annual Permissible Limit, cphr</b>										
<b>1.11E-08</b>										

<sup>4</sup> SCREEN3 modeling output is based on the distance from the receptor to the point source.  
<sup>5</sup> SCREEN3 Max. Concentration: 1.11E-08 µg/m<sup>3</sup>.  
<sup>6</sup> Background concentrations from March 14, 2005 were 1.00 µg/m<sup>3</sup> for PM10 and 0.00 µg/m<sup>3</sup> for PM2.5.  
<sup>7</sup> Add ambient concentration to background concentration to obtain total ambient concentration.  
<sup>8</sup> SCREEN3 Annual Impact

06/22/06

18:15:05

\*\*\* SCREEN3 MODEL RUN \*\*\*  
\*\*\* VERSION DATED 95250 \*\*\*

**P-060507 WALTERS READY MIX, DRIGGS JOHNSON TY267 TRUCK MIX CONCRETE PLANT  
CEMENT SILO BAGHOUSE STACK**

SIMPLE TERRAIN INPUTS:

SOURCE TYPE	=	POINT	
EMISSION RATE (G/S)	=	.126000	
STACK HEIGHT (M)	=	12.1920	(40 feet)
STK INSIDE DIAM (M)	=	.1981	(0.65 feet)
STK EXIT VELOCITY (M/S)	=	9.9529	
STK GAS EXIT TEMP (K)	=	293.0000	
AMBIENT AIR TEMP (K)	=	293.0000	
RECEPTOR HEIGHT (M)	=	1.0000	
URBAN/RURAL OPTION	=	RURAL	
BUILDING HEIGHT (M)	=	.0000	
MIN HORIZ BLDG DIM (M)	=	.0000	
MAX HORIZ BLDG DIM (M)	=	.0000	

STACK EXIT VELOCITY WAS CALCULATED FROM  
VOLUME FLOW RATE = 650.00000 (ACFM)

BUOY. FLUX = .000 M\*\*4/S\*\*3; MOM. FLUX = .972 M\*\*4/S\*\*2.

\*\*\* FULL METEOROLOGY \*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\* SCREEN AUTOMATED DISTANCES \*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\* TERRAIN HEIGHT OF 0. M ABOVE STACK BASE USED FOR FOLLOWING DISTANCES \*\*\*

DIST (M)	CONC (UG/M**3)	STAB	U10M (M/S)	USTK (M/S)	MIX HT (M)	PLUME HT (M)	SIGMA Y (M)	SIGMA Z (M)	DWASH
10.	.2024E-09	1	1.0	1.0	320.0	18.03	3.69	2.19	NO
100.	46.90	2	1.0	1.0	320.0	18.03	19.34	10.73	NO
200.	52.32	3	1.0	1.0	320.0	17.99	23.68	14.13	NO
300.	47.99	4	1.0	1.0	320.0	17.93	22.67	12.20	NO
400.	45.76	5	1.0	1.1	10000.0	17.10	22.06	10.90	NO
500.	44.58	5	1.0	1.1	10000.0	17.10	27.05	12.88	NO
600.	41.21	6	1.0	1.1	10000.0	16.60	21.27	9.77	NO
700.	42.96	6	1.0	1.1	10000.0	16.60	24.49	11.00	NO
800.	41.85	6	1.0	1.1	10000.0	16.60	27.66	12.04	NO
900.	39.88	6	1.0	1.1	10000.0	16.60	30.80	13.04	NO
1000.	37.54	6	1.0	1.1	10000.0	16.60	33.91	14.01	NO

MAXIMUM 1-HR CONCENTRATION AT OR BEYOND	10. M:
176.	53.59      3      1.0      1.0      320.0      17.99      21.17      12.65      NO

DWASH= MEANS NO CALC MADE (CONC = 0.0)  
DWASH=NO MEANS NO BUILDING DOWNWASH USED  
DWASH=HS MEANS HUBER-SNYDER DOWNWASH USED  
DWASH=SS MEANS SCHULMAN-SCIRES DOWNWASH USED  
DWASH=NA MEANS DOWNWASH NOT APPLICABLE, X<3\*LB

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\* SUMMARY OF SCREEN MODEL RESULTS \*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

CALCULATION PROCEDURE	MAX CONC (UG/M**3)	DIST TO MAX (M)	TERRAIN HT (M)	
SIMPLE TERRAIN	53.59	176.	0.	CEMENT SILO BAGHOUSE STACK

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\* REMEMBER TO INCLUDE BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS \*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\* SCREEN3 MODEL RUN \*\*\*  
\*\*\* VERSION DATED 95250 \*\*\*

**P-060507 WALTERS READY MIX, DRIGGS JOHNSON TY267 TRUCK MIX CONCRETE PLANT  
FLYASH STORAGE SILO NO. 2**

SIMPLE TERRAIN INPUTS:

SOURCE TYPE = POINT  
EMISSION RATE (G/S) = .126000  
STACK HEIGHT (M) = 12.1920 (40 feet)  
STK INSIDE DIAM (M) = .9144 (3 feet)  
STK EXIT VELOCITY (M/S) = .0201  
STK GAS EXIT TEMP (K) = 293.0000  
AMBIENT AIR TEMP (K) = 293.0000  
RECEPTOR HEIGHT (M) = 1.0000  
URBAN/RURAL OPTION = RURAL  
BUILDING HEIGHT (M) = .0000  
MIN HORIZ BLDG DIM (M) = .0000  
MAX HORIZ BLDG DIM (M) = .0000

STACK EXIT VELOCITY WAS CALCULATED FROM  
VOLUME FLOW RATE = 28.000000 (ACFM)

BUOY. FLUX = .000 M\*\*4/S\*\*3; MOM. FLUX = .000 M\*\*4/S\*\*2.

\*\*\* FULL METEOROLOGY \*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\* SCREEN AUTOMATED DISTANCES \*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\* TERRAIN HEIGHT OF 0. M ABOVE STACK BASE USED FOR FOLLOWING DISTANCES \*\*\*

DIST (M)	CONC (UG/M**3)	STAB	U10M (M/S)	USTK (M/S)	MIX HT (M)	PLUME HT (M)	SIGMA Y (M)	SIGMA Z (M)	DWASH
10.	.1822E-02	1	1.0	1.0	320.0	9.54	3.36	1.58	NO
100.	187.5	3	1.0	1.0	320.0	9.54	12.46	7.44	NO
200.	163.2	5	1.0	1.1	10000.0	9.53	11.63	6.24	NO
300.	139.8	5	1.0	1.1	10000.0	9.53	16.89	8.70	NO
400.	140.9	6	1.0	1.1	10000.0	9.53	14.64	7.05	NO
500.	125.4	6	1.0	1.1	10000.0	9.53	17.97	8.40	NO
600.	107.7	6	1.0	1.1	10000.0	9.53	21.24	9.69	NO
700.	91.90	6	1.0	1.1	10000.0	9.53	24.46	10.93	NO
800.	79.07	6	1.0	1.1	10000.0	9.53	27.63	11.98	NO
900.	68.66	6	1.0	1.1	10000.0	9.53	30.78	12.98	NO
1000.	60.16	6	1.0	1.1	10000.0	9.53	33.88	13.95	NO

MAXIMUM 1-HR CONCENTRATION AT OR BEYOND 10. M:  
88. 192.3 3 1.0 1.0 320.0 9.54 11.19 6.69 NO

DWASH= MEANS NO CALC MADE (CONC = 0.0)  
DWASH=NO MEANS NO BUILDING DOWNWASH USED  
DWASH=HS MEANS HUBER-SNYDER DOWNWASH USED  
DWASH=SS MEANS SCHULMAN-SCIRE DOWNWASH USED  
DWASH=NA MEANS DOWNWASH NOT APPLICABLE, X<3\*LB

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\* SUMMARY OF SCREEN MODEL RESULTS \*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*

CALCULATION PROCEDURE	MAX CONC (UG/M**3)	DIST TO MAX (M)	TERRAIN HT (M)	
SIMPLE TERRAIN	192.3	88.	0.	FLYASH STORAGE SILO NO. 2

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\* REMEMBER TO INCLUDE BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS \*\*

\*\*\*\*\*